

OFFICERS:

PRESIDENT Susan Swan
VICE-PRESIDENT George Skarpelos
TREASURER Tom Meredith
SECRETARY Vacant
HISTORIAN Vacant



BOARD MEMBERS:

Adam Miller Anji Williams
Chona Galvez Coyote Shivers
Cyndy Williams Erin Penner
Greg Morris Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar Margaret Marmolejo
Robert Litomisky Robin McWilliams
Sheila Irani

HOLLYWOOD UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
Certified Council #52,

P.O. Box 3272 Los Angeles, CA 90078

www.MyHUNC.com email us at Info@MyHUNC.com

Find us and Like us on Facebook at: www.facebook.com/HollywoodUnitedNeighborhoodCouncil/

Sign up to receive Agendas via links on our homepage

BOARD MEETING

Monday, June 19, 2017, 6:30 PM

Fire Station 82 Annex

1800 N. BRONSON AVENUE, L.A., CA 90028

Second Floor Conference Room

The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any item of the agenda prior to the Board taking action on an item. Comments from the public on Agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the Agenda that is within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comment period. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, for a maximum of 20 minutes total for Public comment, unless waived by the presiding officer of the Board. Agenda is posted for public review at Counterpoint Records and Books 5911 Franklin Ave, on our website at www.MyHUNC.com, and with EmpowerLA.. In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at the scheduled meeting (or location noted in the Agenda item) or by making arrangements with our office (contact information above). As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the Neighborhood Council Support Help Line (213) 978-1551 or email info@myhunc.com. Note: Agenda items may be taken out of order or merged as deemed appropriate by the Board. Action may be taken on all items. To subscribe directly to our Agendas go to https://www.lacity.org/city-government/subscribe-meeting-agendas-and-more/neighborhood-councils and make your choice.

Welcome

6:39 PM start

1. Roll Call

Attending

Susan Swan Chona Galvez Sheila Irani Greg Morris George Skarpelos Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar Coyote Shivers Margaret Marmolejo Erin Penner Adam Miller

Excused

Tom Meredith Anji Williams Robert Litomisky Cyndy Williams Robin McWilliams

Left

Chona Galvez: In Attendance: 6:40 PM, Excused 9:01 PM Margaret Marmolejo: In Attendance: 6:43 PM, Excused 10:01 PM

2. Approval of Minutes

#3-Typo -- change "healht" to health

#13 Chnage to change

#14 wehbe typo

#5 money from

Motion: Adam Miller **Second:** Sheila Irani **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller Chona Galvez Coyote Shivers Erin Penner George Skarpelos Greg Morris
Jim Van Dusen Luis Saldivar Sheila Irani Susan Swan

3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

4. Update by Region 5 Budget Advocate, Budget Day June 24th (5 minutes)

Brandon Pender gave budget advocate update.

5. Comments from any City, County, State or Federal representatives in attendance (5 minutes each)

Shannon Prior gave update on short term rental legislation. It will go back to PLUM after Council recess. She also gave literature in regards to the Affordable Housing Linkage Fee and the proposed Hollywood Community Plan. There is also a volunteer opportunity for the Mayor's Crisis Response Team (CRT).

Dan Halden was not present but emailed his monthly update.

6. HUNC Committee & Liaison announcements on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

Margaret spoke about the earthquake sensors put in place in the ground to give citizens a 30-second warning if an earthquake were to happen and the App that should be completed by the end of 2018.

George mentions we will have a table at the Hollywood Farmer's Market.

Luis and Erin give announcement in regards to our June 29th Housing and Social Services Forum.

7. Board Member announcements on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

8. Renters & Housing Committee

A) Update on Renters Town Hall coming up 6/29

9. Bureau of Street Services Liaisons updates

A) Update regarding pothole repair program (5 minutes)

Erin gave update on BSS street repairs

B) Safe Sidewalk LA program presentation (20 minutes)

From Councilmember Ryu's office: The City of Los Angeles has made a historic commitment to invest \$1.4 billion over 30 years to repair or replace sidewalks to make them safe and accessible to everyone. Did you know that it is easy and straight-forward to request sidewalk repairs? Just: 1. Go to the SAFE SIDEWALKS LA homepage at <http://www.sidewalks.lacity.org/> 2. Click on one of the three optional programs, as appropriate, for your specific request for sidewalk repairs: Access Request Program - makes repairs requested by/for people with a mobility disability who encounter physical barriers such as broken sidewalks, missing/broken curb ramps or other barriers in the public right of way Rebate Program - a limited-time rebate to property owners willing to pay for their own sidewalk repair Report a Sidewalk Problem - to report any other sidewalk issue

Trisha Murikawa explained the Safe Sidewalk LA program, which includes 11,000 miles of sidewalk. Sheila gave a comment on issues she went through with the rebate offer. Adam asked about sidewalk repairs when trees are involved. Public comment followed.

Motion Made: Safe Sidewalk LA program presentation (20 minutes)

From Councilmember Ryu's office: The City of Los Angeles has made a historic commitment to invest \$1.4 billion over 30 years to repair or replace sidewalks to make them safe and accessible to everyone. Did you know that it is easy and straight-forward to request sidewalk repairs? Just: 1. Go to the SAFE SIDEWALKS LA homepage at <http://www.sidewalks.lacity.org/> 2. Click on one of the three optional programs, as appropriate, for your specific request for sidewalk repairs: Access Request Program - makes repairs requested by/for people with a mobility disability who encounter physical barriers such as broken sidewalks, missing/broken curb ramps or other barriers in the public right of way Rebate Program - a limited-time rebate to property owners willing to pay for their own sidewalk repair Report a Sidewalk Problem - to report any other sidewalk issue

C) Neighborhood Council Survey on Public Works Services, City of Los Angeles <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/KY9LH6L>

10. PSW&T (20 minutes)

- A) Review alternative entry point to Hollyridge trail on public land at top of Beachwood Drive. Motion to support a letter to RAP, CD4 and City Attorney about alternative access and to request the reopening of the Beachwood Gate to pedestrians in order to access alternative trailhead.

Proposed Hollyridge Trail Access: <http://www.laparks.org/sites/default/files/griffithPK/pdf/hollyridgeTrailAccess.pdf>
Sunset Ranch Preliminary Decision Sunset Ranch 3/13/17 Order Cross-Complaint for Declaratory Relief Trespass and Public Nuisance

Kris Sullivan, member of the Griffith Park Advisory Board spoke about the AD HOC committee created to find an access to Griffith Park from upper Beachwood that complies with the recent court orders. She explained the committee's proposal to have an access point with a side raling onto park land and removal of one of the current fence panels. Michael Kazinski, a beachwood resident then gave a visual presentation with the committee's proposal. Public comment followed.

Mary Button is extremely disappointed with the trailhead closure and explained the petition she is involved with and stated that city officials have not responded despite the many petitioners.

☐

Jay Marks, Oaks resident, strongly supports access to the park.

Gerry Hans, Oaks resident, board member of Friends of Griffith Park. He states that city officials are surprised by the stakeholders' push back and his support for the trailhead access.

Linda, Friends of Griffith Park board member, supports the plan for trailhead access.

Arlene Van Breems supports the motion to open pedestrian access.

Bill, a Beachwood resident, details all of his current problems with tourist activity and constant pedestrians. He feels it is a public safety issue to have to unprepared tourists take longer hikes. He supports the motion.

Lissa Negrin explained that websites now tell visitors to use Canyon as access and she supports this motion.

Jason Greenwald spoke about how proud he was for the community to come together this evening. He thinks everyone should be able to access the park and he supports this proposal.

Randy Sommer voiced his support for the motion.

Sherri Hellard, Oaks resident, fully supports this motion and feels it's unfair to strip residents of trailhead access.

Sandy Lambert fully supports this proposal because the traffic impact already created is terrible for the residents and that all neighborhoods should share the brunt of the access.

Wayne Schlock fully supports this plan. He feels the park shouldn't just be a scenic backdrop and everyone should have access. Wayne feels concerned that the Parks Department has padlocked the pedestrian gate and that people can't exit. The padlock is still there and it is a hazard.

Brandi D'Amore supports the motion and mentions that there are hundreds of residents that are thrilled that the trailhead is closed but only one is in attendance at this meeting so residents need to be prepared for pushback.

Isabelle Megginson, resident of Beachwood since 1993 and member of the Beachwood Neighborhood Association thanks Friends of Griffith Park for all of their work and she fully supports this motion.

George Joblove feels the city should be increasing access, not decreasing.

Peter Lavin strongly supports this proposal as he's hiked this trail for 25 years and that's why he brought property here.

Rainer Standke feels its' not fair to close single access points at a time when we have more pedestrians.

Missy Kelly, resident of Beachwood since 1987 feels every permit parking zone needs to be erased which was supposed to help traffic. She feels the city can't have it both ways; if you are a proud property owner of a home under the Hollywood sign, you should expect tourism. She feels it's very sad that we are in the position we're in and that the city will not respond to petitions. She thinks city only responds to law suits. She feels Rec and Parks is now happy with closure as they don't have to drive up Beachwood anymore and citizens have many lawsuits that could be filed.

Kathy Richards supports the proposal and thanked the Friends of Griffith Park.

Eta Hallinan, resident of 63 years at Glen Holly and Beachwood. She has known the tourists for 63 years and adores them. Eta wants to know if there can be a privacy wall built to protect the homeowners.

Scott Sanksbey, Oaks resident of 15 years. He recently bought a house at the top of Beachwood. He thinks the plan is lacking detail with regard to function, structure, dimensions, costs. He looked into it and thinks the cost will be \$500K is excessive and would rather see the money go to Cheremoya. He also wants to know what this plan solves.

Susan Swan explains that we're on a slippery slope where all accesses could be closed to a public park. She's concerned that the gate is padlocked shut and it's a huge safety concern when we were told it would not be padlocked. Gerry says he saw the rangers respond with bolt cutters to cut the chain and a couple of hours later it was changed again. A female resident explained that the trailhead was closed not because of the residents but was closed because of the Ranch.

Mike states that there's no basis on the \$500k estimate because there's no design for anyone to estimate.

George gives kudos to Scott for speaking up for his support of the closure. One male resident stood up and asked if traffic will be addressed. Sheila mentioned that people fought against the shuttle proposal and they're the same residents in support of the trailhead closure. George states he really wants to hear comments from the supports of the trailhead closure. Sheila feels it's too much congestion for some residents and there are fatality issues with roads with zero sidewalks.

Jim Van Dusen explains that still no plans have been presented for management of crowds if the trailhead is opened. He thinks this will continue safety issues for residents and visitors. He thinks HOAs need to come together because this issue is here after the lawsuit happened and trailhead closed because not enough HOAs had meetings to discuss this. He feels this motion is premature without a solid plan and groups need to come together. He feels it violates the court order and Sheila disagrees.

Susan thanked the Friends of Griffith Park for their work and mentioned how the closure could affect tourists in the area if the Olympics were to come here.

Mike clarified the property lines after doing much city research. He explained that the Councilman has greenlit a survey to go out as a next step now that they've created a design.

Shannon Prior says that David Ryu is pro access and is upset about the closure, but he does not think there will be one solution. She explains that the access mobility study is starting very soon and data will be collected during the summer months.

George feels that he doesn't support the word immediate but Sheila feels omitting it will not get anything fixed. Sandy recommends changing the wording to expedited construction.

Motion Made: HUNC supports the immediate construction of an alternate public pedestrian access trailhead located at the top of Beachwood Drive as described in today's presentation.

Motion: Sheila Irani **Second:** Luis Saldivar **Vote:** Yes-9, No-1, Abstain-0, Recused-1, Ineligible-0

Yes

Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Luis Saldivar
Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan			

No

Jim Van Dusen

Recuse

Adam Miller

11. Finance: (20 minutes)

A) Discussion and vote to approve Monthly Expense Reports Approve May MER

Tabled

B) Discuss and vote for approval to allocate all HUNC FY2016/2017 surplus funds to Department of Neighborhood Councils event Congress of Neighborhood Councils in FY2017/2018

Motion: Luis Saldivar **Second:** Adam Miller **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

C) Discuss and review FY2016/2017 Strategic Plan

Tabled

- D) Discuss and begin preparing for FY2017/2018 Budget Update on current Budget and critical dates for Funding Requests Forms within FY 2016/2017

Tabled

- E) Discussion and vote to approve revised FY 2016/2017 Budget

Tabled

- F) Discuss and vote to approve \$130.25 from FY 2017/2018 Budget for Verizon Wireless service

Motion: George Skarpelos **Second:** Erin Penner **Vote:** Yes-11, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris
Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	

- G) Discuss and vote to approve up to \$160.00 from FY 2017/2018 Budget for Little Free Library post and dog hook

Motion: Erin Penner **Second:** Adam Miller **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- H) Discuss and vote to approve up to \$400 to various vendors per individual FY 2017/2018 Board Meetings, Special Board Meetings, Board Retreats, Committee Meetings and Board Events for food, beverages and incidentals

Motion Made: Approve up to \$400 to various vendors per individual FY 2017/2018 Board Meetings, Special Board Meetings, Board Retreats, Committee Meetings and Board Events for food, beverages and incidentals

Motion: George Skarpelos **Second:** Luis Saldivar **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- I) Re-approve FB advertising budget of \$500

Motion: Susan Swan **Second:** Luis Saldivar **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- J) Re-approve Erin's monthly street cleanup fund for food, supplies, gloves and incidentals etc, increasing to \$200/month

Motion: Erin Penner **Second:** Luis Saldivar **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- K) Discuss and vote to approve up to \$500 for supplies to put together backpacks for distribution at events

Motion: Erin Penner **Second:** Greg Morris **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- L) Re-approve \$500 to purchase table cloths with logos for events for 2017-2018 fiscal year

Motion: George Skarpelos **Second:** Margaret Marmolejo **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

12. Review of Bronson Bridge Mural Project. (5 minutes)

Margaret states that we're still waiting for complete approval.

13. PLUM

- A) Presentation by the Coalition to Preserve Los Angeles regarding the development of the Los Angeles General Plan. Motion to send letter requesting HUNC to have input into the development of the new Los Angeles General Plan and ability to attend all meetings. Included in letter is request that all meetings be open to the public. Packet at: <http://www.hollywoodunitednc.org/Gen'l%20Plan%20Motion.pdf> Draft Neighborhood Council/Other Community Org Motion: >Whereas the city has for months been working on a plan behind closed doors for the overall vision and dreams for Los Angeles, the General Plan, which is to be presented to the public and Neighborhood Councils sometime this fall; >And whereas Mayor Garcetti has said, residents must have "a sense of ownership over the development of our communities," and City Councilman Jose Huizar, chair of the powerful PLUM committee, pledged to bring "accountability and transparency back into our General Plan and Community Plan processes"; >And whereas Los Angeles is several years behind other Western U.S. cities in involving its citizens in a General Plan Update process that starts from the ground up; >And whereas public money and public interest are major factors in the earliest decision-making on our General Plan Update process, strategies, and dreams; >And whereas it is widely agreed the public cannot fully participate in General Plan Updating meetings, hearings and debates that unfold during the day downtown; >And whereas closed-door meetings on the fundamental debates and decisions about our dreams and goals for updating of the General Plan can only create more distrust and dismay over the city's broken planning system; >We request that now-underway, and upcoming, Planning Department Work Group meetings about our shared ideas and ideals for the Los Angeles General Plan and its many Elements be made fully transparent, be set at evening and weekend hours; be open to the public as of now; invite a representative from each neighborhood alliance; and in the case of the nearly completed Open Space Work Group, be expanded from the four meetings completed in closed-door sessions, to four extra meetings that follow the prescription in this paragraph. >We further request, in the same vein, that the Mayor's Planning Task Force and Transportation Infrastructure Steering Committee for the General Plan both be made fully transparent, be set at evening and weekend hours; be open to the public as of now; and expand their closed-door meetings to several additional meetings that follow the prescription in this paragraph.

Susan Hunter presents the letter in regards to the Los Angeles General Plan. Susan explains that there needs to be far more transparency with all meetings having anything to do with the General Plan.

Motion Made: We support a letter to the Mayor, the Planning Director, and to our City Council members that advocates for all meetings regarding the General Plan be posted and open to the public.

Motion: Jim Van Dusen **Second:** Coyote Shivers **Vote:** Yes-9, No-0, Abstain-1, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar
Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan			

Abstain

Adam Miller

- B) Request by Behavioral Health Services – Hollywood Prevention Team to support the limitation of on- and off-sale liquor licenses in the in census tract 1910. Possible motion of support. Fact summary at: Conditional Use Beverage (CUB) Permits for Alcohol Authority of City Zoning Administrators to Impose Conditions of Approval to Mitigate Potential Land Use Impacts Arising from the Sale or Service of Alcoholic Beverages That Are Adverse to Public Health, Safety and Welfare MOTION: >Whereas, the City of Los Angeles has imposed land use conditions in Conditional Use Beverage (CUB) permits for decades to protect public health, safety and welfare by mitigating potential impacts due to the sale or service of alcohol, as recommended by the LAPD, Council Offices, neighborhood councils, community councils, and local residents; and >Whereas, Zoning Administrators now unilaterally and without notice use the Plan Approval Process to remove previously-imposed conditions designed to avoid or mitigate actual or potential land use impacts adverse to public health, safety and welfare; and >Whereas, municipalities throughout the State of California have and continue to impose land use conditions to mitigate adverse impacts that may otherwise arise from the sale or service of alcohol, including the Cities of Santa Monica, West Hollywood, Palmdale, Lancaster, Huntington Park, Montebello, Orange, San

Bernardino, Pinole, Ventura, San Buenaventura, Santa Cruz, Hayward, Walnut Creek, Watsonville, and the County of Los Angeles, among others; and >Whereas, the City's current practice undermines the ability of the City and local communities to protect against potential land use impacts that are adverse to public health, safety and welfare, including but not limited to potential nuisances, by restricting the imposition of conditions on, among other things, hours of sale of alcohol, happy hours, container sizes, types of alcohol sold, and other similar rules and regulations, despite the efficacy of such conditions in avoiding or mitigating potential adverse impacts arising from the sale or service of alcohol; and >Whereas, California courts have repeatedly affirmed that municipalities have broad police powers to impose land use conditions that protect against potentially adverse impacts on public health, safety and welfare arising from the sale or service of alcohol; and >Whereas, the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) has not advised the City that the imposition of such land use conditions interferes with ABC's enforcement of State law; and >Whereas, the City's current practice not only impairs the ability of the LAPD, Council Offices, and communities to protect public health, safety and welfare, but also interferes with the ability of CUB applicants to garner support for their projects by negotiating for the imposition of mutually agreed-upon conditions, which forces communities to oppose projects they could otherwise support with proper conditions: >Now, therefore, be it Resolved that the [Insert Neighborhood or Community Council Name Here] calls upon the City Council to adopt a policy to: (1.) Authorize the imposition of land use conditions that protect public health, safety and welfare by mitigating potential adverse impacts from the sale or service of alcohol, consistent with the practice of other jurisdictions statewide; (2.) Maintain and enforce previously-imposed CUB conditions on the sale or service of alcohol; and (3.) Prohibit the removal of previously-imposed conditions outside the public processes mandated under the City Charter and Zoning Code. In lieu of policy change, the Neighborhood Council calls upon the City Council to form an independent commission with appropriate membership to investigate recent case law regarding the authority of California municipalities to place alcohol-related conditions on the establishment of new alcohol licenses in their communities;

Jim explains the motion and his recommendation to back it.

Gilbert Mora from Behavioral Health Services explains that LA is one of the only municipalities that doesn't allow alcohol-specific conditions on CUBs and presented his request to support the limitation of on and off-sale liquor licenses. He feels NCs should have more negotiating power.

Jim states that PLUM does not take a position but will continue to negotiate.

Motion Made: >Whereas, Zoning Administrators now unilaterally and without notice use the Plan Approval Process to remove previously-imposed conditions designed to avoid or mitigate actual or potential land use impacts adverse to public health, safety and welfare; and >Whereas, municipalities throughout the State of California have and continue to impose land use conditions to mitigate adverse impacts that may otherwise arise from the sale or service of alcohol, including the Cities of Santa Monica, West Hollywood, Palmdale, Lancaster, Huntington Park, Montebello, Orange, San Bernardino, Pinole, Ventura, San Buenaventura, Santa Cruz, Hayward, Walnut Creek, Watsonville, and the County of Los Angeles, among others; and >Whereas, the City's current practice undermines the ability of the City and local communities to protect against potential land use impacts that are adverse to public health, safety and welfare, including but not limited to potential nuisances, by restricting the imposition of conditions on, among other things, hours of sale of alcohol, happy hours, container sizes, types of alcohol sold, and other similar rules and regulations, despite the efficacy of such conditions in avoiding or mitigating potential adverse impacts arising from the sale or service of alcohol; and >Whereas, California courts have repeatedly affirmed that municipalities have broad police powers to impose land use conditions that protect against potentially adverse impacts on public health, safety and welfare arising from the sale or service of alcohol; and >Whereas, the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) has not advised the City that the imposition of such land use conditions interferes with ABC's enforcement of State law; and >Whereas, the City's current practice not only impairs the ability of the LAPD, Council Offices, and communities to protect public health, safety and welfare, but also interferes with the ability of CUB applicants to garner support for their projects by negotiating for the imposition of mutually agreed-upon conditions, which forces communities to oppose projects they could otherwise support with proper conditions: >Now, therefore, be it Resolved that the HUNC calls upon the City Council to adopt a policy to: (1.) Authorize the imposition of land use conditions that protect public health, safety and welfare by mitigating potential adverse impacts from the sale or service of alcohol, consistent with the practice of other jurisdictions statewide; (2.) Maintain and enforce previously-imposed CUB conditions on the sale or service of alcohol; and (3.) Prohibit the removal of previously-imposed conditions outside the public processes mandated under the City Charter and Zoning Code. In lieu of policy change, the Neighborhood Council calls upon the City Council to form an independent commission with appropriate membership to investigate recent case law regarding the authority of California municipalities to place alcohol-related conditions on the establishment of new alcohol licenses in their communities;

Motion: Jim Van Dusen

Second: Luis Saldivar

Vote: Yes-5, No-3, Abstain-2, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

George Skarpelos

Jim Van Dusen

Luis Saldivar

Margaret Marmolejo

Susan Swan

No

Adam Miller

Coyote Shivers

Greg Morris

Abstain

Erin Penner

Sheila Irani

- C) 1775 N. Ivar Avenue. Project: Description: New CUB for new operator of an existing restaurant with 141 seats w/ a full line of alcoholic beverages for on-site consumption. Action(s) Requested: Applicant is requesting a new CUB to assume control of an existing 2,754 square-foot restaurant having the right to sell and dispense a full line of alcoholic beverages for on-site consumption in conjunction with dancing and live entertainment

Gavin McKiernan explains the requests for the new CUB for the existing restaurant on 1775 N. Ivar Avenue.

Jim states that PLUM is looking to approve the motion under the condition that the patio is covered

Motion Made: To approve the new CUB with the Plan Approval Compliance Review. Noise attenuating roof (item #6) is to be included.

Motion: Jim Van Dusen **Second:** Greg Morris **Vote:** Yes-10, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris	Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan		

- D) Review and possible motion regarding the Party House ordinance that was discussed at the HHWNC Party House Town Hall http://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2012/12-1824-S1_misc_9-27-16.pdf http://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2012/12-1824-S1_RPT_PLUM_10-26-16.pdf

14. Discussion of quarterly meeting with Hollywood Chamber (5 minutes)

George discusses the September Chamber meeting in regards to the Hollywood Community Plan. He spoke about the Chamber's suggestion that Hollywood NCs start meeting regularly to coordinate on issues that affect Hollywood. He then explains that the Economic Summit is on Thursday and any board member can go.

15. Update on HUNC Board Seat vacancies (10 minutes)

- A) Update re new At-Large Unclassified Representative seat (Eligibility must be: Areas: 2, 3, and 5; to 2018 election), application form available at <http://www.hollywoodunitednc.org/HUNC%20Vacant%20Seat%20Application.pdf>

- B) Motion to approve the appointment of Violet Williams to the vacant Youth Issues Representative seat

Motion Made: Approve the appointment of Violet Williams to the vacant Youth Issues Representative seat.

Motion: Susan Swan **Second:** Coyote Shivers **Vote:** Yes-11, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Adam Miller	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Greg Morris
Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	

16. Board Member and Committee Member/Liaison assignments and responsibilities (10 minutes)

17. Old/Ongoing Business

18. New/Future Business

Adjournment at 10:09 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.