



**Motion:** Adam Miller      **Second:** Chona Galvez      **Vote:** Yes-9, No-0, Abstain-3, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller      Andrew Chadsey      Brandi D'Amore      Coyote Shivers      Erin Penner      George Skarpelos  
 Jeff Ramberg      Jim Van Dusen      Matt Wait

**Abstain**

Chona Galvez      Danielle Paris      Luis Saldivar

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

## 3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

**Sam - Behavior Health Services, serve the Hollywood area. Working on Marijuana Public smoking initiative survey. Getting community input on the aftermath of legalization and marijuana smoking in public space. If anyone belongs to local organizations where they can come in and give their survey they would appreciate it. He also has the survey with him if anyone is interested. It is a one on one survey. You can also call in. It is two pages/five minutes.**

**Gilbert - he is the prevention coordinator. Tomorrow is the city council PLUM meeting at 2:30. They will be discussing the crossroads project. If you have any comments go to that meeting. They will also be talking about it on January 31st.**

**Darren - Here on behalf of 5752**

## 4. Comments from any City, County, State or Federal representatives in attendance (5 minutes each)

**Sharon Pryor - has a few updates. Early warning earthquake app is out. Shake alert LA. First app that will provide early warning for the whole country. Gives you a few seconds before an earthquake hits to prepare.**

**Reminder that the homeless count registration is still happening. Theycountwillyou.org. January 22-24.**

**Update: next police community advisory meeting is on wed Jan 23rd. 1-2pm. At the Hollywood Chamber.**

**LAPD is doing a blood drive at the Hollywood division Jan 22nd 9am-3pm**

**City is offering resources in light of the LAUSD teacher's strike. Library's will have additional programming for kids. Metro/dash are offering free transportation for kids 5am-7pm.**

**Matt encourages everyone to get involved with the homeless count.**

**The clean and green team can come out to clean areas within two days if you are specific about the location.**

**Council member: Argyle and Vine has a lot of trash beyond a locked fence.**

**Sharon - there was an encampment there. They are supposed to clean up after they clear the encampment. She can ask the CALTRAN liaison about getting in there.**

**George asks about the lending library. Sharon is trying to figure out who to ask permission to do that. Tom has had some back and forth with MRCA. She will forward those emails to the council.**

## 5. Introduction of Neighborhood Housing Services of LA County (NHSLA), Jaime Del Rio, Community Organizer

**He had car trouble and asked to be at next meeting.**

## 6. Update from Budget Advocate

**George asks if anyone is interested in being the budget advocate. No one volunteers. George may have to appoint someone.**

## 7. HUNC Committee &amp; Liaison announcements on items not on the Agenda

**Brandi - She went to the election workshop. It was informative. Went through how to use the portal. Eligibility issues came up. She asks that people go to the next meeting.**

**Transportation and works - having trouble with parking.**

**Brandi - Villa Carlotta - they started doing valet parking. Parked in handicapped spot. Street parking. The valet says he has a contract. Ryan White cited them because they do not have a permit. The valet, Mohammed, said they are getting permission from LADOT to change the lot to loading which takes up five parking spots. They bypassed PLUM. They sent an email to Brandon at DOT. When the lot gets full they drive it down to the Best Western. They are trying to confirm independent of the Villa Carlotta or in tandem.**

**Asks for a date for ad hoc business. George asks them to figure out a date and he will submit an agenda. Chona - if it is three or four**

*people she offers her office. There is a conference room.*

8. Discussion and possible vote to move the Monthly board meeting to the second Monday of the month

*George - in Feb and January it is on MLK. In Dec or Jan it gets complicated because of the Holidays. We currently conflict with East Hollywood - no matter what we do, we conflict with some Hollywood meeting. But a second Monday never conflicts with a holiday.*

*Jim - There will be months where the way the month falls you have to get the motions in the next day before 6pm may be difficult. There is so much going on that it may be difficult.*

*George - the option for that would be to add PLUM to the last Thursday of the month.*

*Brandi - PLUM has to be before the full board.*

*Jim - we are up against all sorts of deadline. You may not get the PLUM agenda until a minute or two before the deadline.*

*George - let's try to find a solution that avoids doing it on a holiday. It is not fair to city workers. Perhaps we try this rather than try to reschedule all the other meetings.*

*Jim - we can try it and see how it works, but it may be tricky.*

*Matt - can we add to the motion to operantly move the Homeless and Transportation moved.*

**Motion Made:** Discussion and possible vote to move the Monthly board meeting to the second Monday of the month and move the Homelessness committee and Transportation committee to the third Monday of the month.

**Motion:** George Skarpelos      **Second:** Adam Miller      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

9. PLUM Committee

A) Report by Committee Chair

B) 5752 Valley Oak Drive: Motion to approve with condition. Project: To add an over in height fence at a height of 7 feet with pedestrian height of 9'3" for a total of 45 linear feet within the front yard set back. Actions(s) Requested: Approval of pedestrian gate at a height of 9'3" and fence for a total of 45 (sic) linear feet at a height of 7 feet in the front yard for a total of 45 linear feet. Conditioned that the homeowners association for the area approves the project.

*5752 Valley Oak Drive is a compound of four houses that is in a gated area off of Canyon. The owner wants to put up a fence because he is a celebrity. The neighbors have signed off and approved the project. The HOA has approved it. The Valley Oak Neighbors Association also does not object to it, they support it. It is attractive fence. PLUM recommends approving it.*

*Chona asks - who is the celebrity?*

*PLUM says that is not required for the public record. The neighbors want this fence because of photographers.*

*The fence goes around the whole compound. And the people in the hill behind it will not be effected.*

*George's only concern is safety due to the high fence. But where the fence is located is not on a corner where the cars are coming around.*

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen      **Second:** Brandi D'Amore      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

C) Discussion and possible motions regarding the draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Hollywood Community Plan Update (public comment for the draft EIR to end January 31, 2019).

*Jim - they issued this over the holidays and gave us until 1/31/19 to respond. It is a thick document, there's a lot to it. The concern is that the deadline is coming up and we do not have any choice. They can ask for an extension to get it in. There will be more opportunities for input after the deadline. One issue Jim has is that the motions in the agenda.*

1. HUNC requests a 30 day extension to comment due to the holidays and Brown Act caused delays in ability to review. Due to the resulting short time to comment, HUNC can only submit the below comments. The following is in no way indicative of the totality of HUNC’s concerns as the entire plan needs to be reviewed and the Brown Act precludes the neighborhood council from conversations outside of regular board and committee hearings. HUNC will therefore be providing more comments as soon as possible.

**George thinks that is valid because they released it at an inconvenient time.**

**Brandi - they also have to ask them to make it compatible with iOS.**

**George - it is pop-up**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Andrew Chadsey                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

2. Earthquake and Hollywood Fault Line: While CBIA v. BAAQMD lawsuit decision held that CEQA generally does not require a lead agency to consider impacts of existing environment on future residents or users of a project, the fact that the HCPU is the prototype for all other communities in Los Angeles and the fact that the Hollywood Fault Line is an established fault line, the potential impact of earthquakes of various magnitudes must be considered in the HCPU draft EIR. The potential property damage and loss of life are significant and must be studied and included in the draft EIR in relation to the type and location of development.

**Jim - he thinks it is important to go on record to at least study the earthquake protentional because there are some very dense buildings in that area. PLUM feels that it is important they request that they study it.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Luis Saldivar                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

3. The HCPU should be required to have its own emergency plan separate but in compliance with the City Disaster Preparedness Plan element under the General Plan due to:
- A) Proximity to the Hollywood fault line.
  - B) The limited access in some of the hillside area.
  - C) The high number of tourists and the need to accommodate multiple languages.
  - D) The existence of liquefaction and the allowance of constructing buildings past height restrictions.

**Jim - there is nothing in the plan that refers to this issue.**

**George - do they usually put that in community plans?**

**Jim - not really but because this is the area that would be effected he feels it is important to add it. Especially in light of all the new construction.**

**Margaret and Brandi agree because of the concerns of fire.**

**The denser it is, the longer emergency services will take to get to the emergency.**

**Motion Made: The HCPU should consider having its own emergency plan separate but in compliance with the City Disaster Preparedness Plan element under the General Plan due to:**

- A) Proximity to the Hollywood fault line.**
- B) The limited access in some of the hillside area.**
- C) The high number of tourists and the need to accommodate multiple languages.**
- D) The existence of liquefaction and the allowance of constructing buildings past height restrictions.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Matt Wait                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

4. The affordable housing incentives and requirements are severely inadequate as well as the treatment of those living in affordable housing who will be displaced by the higher priced apartments being supported by the HCPU. While the city has been using and plans to continue using AB1505, the rate of affordable housing it creates is not enough to replace the RSO stock that is being destroyed and the affordable housing that is still needed to cover the present population of Hollywood (see attached HUNC position paper regarding housing in Hollywood).

*Jim - PLUM does not actually have an official position on this, but it is a big issue. This an area that we must be involved in. Brandi - not only affordable income, but low income. Also it does not take the senior population into consideration. Matt - we could add something about how the HPCU should have plans for more affordable housing.*

**Motion Made:** *The affordable housing incentives and requirements are severely inadequate as well as the treatment of those living in affordable housing who will be displaced by the higher priced apartments being supported by the HCPU. While the city has been using and plans to continue using AB1505, the rate of affordable housing it creates is not enough to replace the RSO stock that is being destroyed and the affordable housing that is still needed to cover the present population of Hollywood (see attached HUNC position paper regarding housing in Hollywood). The HCPU should contain plans to increase affordable housing beyond what is currently in the plan.*

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Matt Wait                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo  
Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

5. The proposal to increase the FAR to 6.1 is excessive given the earthquake and building density issues in Hollywood. This ratio far exceeds the ability of the infrastructure, traffic and road usage to be handled safely in Hollywood.

*Jim - the building is too tall and dense for this particular neighborhood. Even a 5.1 or 4.5 to 1. They have doubled in six years.*

**Motion Made:** *The proposal to increase the FAR to 6 :1 is excessive given the earthquake and building density issues in Hollywood. This ratio far exceeds the ability of the infrastructure, traffic and road usage to be handled safely in Hollywood. We propose a FAR between 4:1 an 5:1.*

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Jeff Ramberg                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo  
Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

6. The HCPU fails to examine other alternatives such as a decrease in population and the down-zoning that downsizing should trigger. The current plan is only assuming an increase in growth of population. The plan fails to acknowledge the saturated increase of studio or single-bedroom units which don't foster family growth. By not increasing the single-family homes for ownership or rental, the future population of Hollywood won't be based on the expansion of families, but rather on a transient population of single individuals who eventually move to other locations in the city to raise a family. This population demographic won't lead to an increase in population, but rather a swapping out of one person for another. Therefore, the projected population growth numbers can't be adequately assessed as live births are the main source of population increase, not migration.

**Southern California Association of Government.**

**Jim - they are only assuming that everything will grow. They have not looked at what would happen if growth does down.**

**Comments - we should amend it to take out single-family homes.**

**Motion Made: The HCPU fails to examine other alternatives such as a decrease in population and the down-zoning that downsizing should trigger. The current plan is only assuming an increase in growth of population. The plan fails to acknowledge the saturated increase of studio or single-bedroom units which don't foster family growth.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Luis Saldivar                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo  
Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

7. Designating Beachwood Drive as a Collector Street does not take into account that a majority of the street does not meet the definition of Collector Street for much of its length above Franklin Blvd. Beachwood Drive needs to be designated as a Local Street Limited.

**Jim - because of Beachwood's varying width it does not make sense to designate it as a Collector street.**

**George - would you consider having it be a collector street south of Graciosa? Because it is not a true collectors street in other areas**

**Jeff - labels affect what the infrastructure will look like and what changes the city makes.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Jeff Ramberg                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo  
Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

8. HCPU fails to acknowledge the option of land swapping for privately owned parcels with city owned parcels in order to create more parks or parking structures. Parking structures should be encouraged on land that can't be developed due to contamination issues.

**Jim - none of this was brought up in the draft EIR.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Luis Saldivar                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo  
Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

9. Other issues as determined by the HUNC board.

*Jim - They only discussed the buses. The draft EIR did not address anything about the buses.*

*The transportation module does not study the number of bus riders in Hollywood, future use of busses, the draft EIR needs to expand its study. The study does have. The Study should include free bus lines in the heart of Hollywood.*

*Brandi - it also does not take the Dixon report into consideration.*

*Jeff - they planned for things for it but excluded that plan from this plan.*

*Jim - also the Dixon report was never made into a plan.*

*Note - motion 10 - the HPCU needs to take into consideration how the Dixon report will be incorporated into the EIR.*

*Brandi - the black lung issue is not addressed in the EIR. They have also acknowledged that they have goals that contradict each other. They also need to address the potential affect of rideshare.*

*George - I don't know if we have time to address all these issues. Let's hold these issues for the EIR.*

**Motion Made: The transportation module does not study the number of bus riders in Hollywood, future use of busses, the draft EIR needs to expand its study. The study does have. The Study should include free bus lines in the heart of Hollywood.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Second:** Jeff Ramberg                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait					

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

D) Discussion and possible motion regarding HUNC's Housing Policy Position draft response to forward to Councilmember Ryu and LA City Council:

The Hollywood United Neighborhood Council (HUNC) would like to express its belief that the current draft of the Hollywood Community Plan does not do enough to support the construction of affordable housing. While the city has generally been a champion of new developments, these projects overwhelmingly are built to rent at market rate and serve only the high-income residents of the city. These new developments also tend to have a "halo" effect of rising rent prices in non-RSO housing nearby. The result is displacement of the city's most vulnerable residents, in many cases directly onto the streets, fueling the city's continuing homelessness crisis. While the city has granted some incentives for transit-adjacent developments, the small number of additional affordable housing being created does not nearly meet the city's needs. Since Proposition 10 was defeated at the ballot box in November, the only way the city has to accommodate low-income individuals is to simply build more affordable housing, at a much greater rate.

Hollywood in particular has a very well-established homeless population and a changing development pattern that has seen the construction of more high-income housing that is at odds with the needs of its largely low-income residents. However, in the 138-page November 2018 Hollywood Community Development Plan Draft, the phrase "affordable housing" appears only 14 times, with nearly no specifics on how more development will be encouraged besides the existing incentives. Additionally, the draft EIR specifically does not address the social or economic effects of displacement of low-income residents and only specifies where housing will be built and not what kind. Los Angeles and Hollywood in particular must aggressively defend and expand affordable and RSO housing in its borders to protect the low-income population that is vital to its economy from permanent displacement or homelessness. These efforts belong in any future development plan for the Hollywood neighborhood.

HUNC would like to offer its support to the city council in developing new incentives for the construction of affordable housing, as well as potential legislation to address the issue that can be placed in a revised Community Development Plan. HUNC would also like to express its interest in the construction of more affordable housing within its boundaries. We look forward to working more with the City Council to address this pressing crisis.

**Matt's summary: expresses concern that affordable housing is a serious issue in the community. The current plan does not contain enough.**

*George - discuss that middle paragraph.*

*Matt sees affordable housing and homelessness as linked in Hollywood.*

*Brandi - maybe they need to look at the impact on the community in relation to construction.*

*Brandi asks Gilbert if he is seeing an increase in drug use. He says no, because most homeless people do not come in for treatment anyway.*

*Brandi - she has heard that substance abuse leads to homelessness, but Gilbert says it is the other way around. But there is a strong correlation.*

*Jim- Matt should coordinate on housing, Jeff will handle transportation, and Adam will handle homelessness. Geology sticks with PLUM.*

*Brandi - now that development is really happening they need to consider stuff in the cultural section.*

*Jeff - this takes all the different information in existing programs and brought them together. The new stuff is mostly not in our area.*

*Jim - let us know what section you are interested.*

*Brandi - EIR needs to take into consideration the Dixon*

**Motion Made: Motion to approve HUNC's Housing Policy Position response to be forwarded to Councilmembers Ryu and O'Farrell and LA City Council:**

*The Hollywood United Neighborhood Council (HUNC) would like to express its belief that the current draft of the Hollywood Community Plan does not do enough to support the construction of affordable housing. While the city has generally been a champion of new developments, these projects overwhelmingly are built to rent at market rate and serve only the high-income residents of the city. These new developments also tend to have a "halo" effect of rising rent prices in non-RSO housing nearby. The result is displacement of the city's most vulnerable residents, in many cases directly onto the streets, fueling the city's continuing homelessness crisis. While the city has granted some incentives for transit-adjacent developments, the small number of additional affordable housing being created does not nearly meet the city's needs. Since Proposition 10 was defeated at the ballot box in November, the only way the city has to accommodate low-income individuals is to simply build more affordable housing, at a much greater rate.*

*Hollywood in particular has a very well-established homeless population and a changing development pattern that has seen the construction of more high-income housing that is at odds with the needs of its largely low-income residents. However, in the 138-page November 2018 Hollywood Community Development Plan Draft, the phrase "affordable housing" appears only 14 times, with nearly no specifics on how more development will be encouraged besides the existing incentives. Additionally, the draft EIR specifically does not address the social or economic effects of displacement of low-income residents and only specifies where housing will be built and not what kind. Los Angeles and Hollywood in particular must aggressively defend and expand affordable and RSO housing in its borders to protect the low-income population that is vital to its economy from permanent displacement or homelessness. These efforts belong in any future development plan for the Hollywood neighborhood. Planning should investigate the link between a lack of affordable housing and homelessness in the Hollywood community.*

*HUNC would like to offer its support to the city council in developing new incentives for the construction of affordable housing, as well as potential legislation to address the issue that can be placed in a revised Community Development Plan. HUNC would also like to express its interest in the construction of more affordable housing within its boundaries. We look forward to working more with the City Council to address this pressing crisis.*

**Motion:** Matt Wait                      **Second:** Jim Van Dusen                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller                      Andrew Chadsey                      Brandi D'Amore                      Chona Galvez                      Coyote Shivers                      Danielle Paris  
Erin Penner                      George Skarpelos                      Jeff Ramberg                      Jim Van Dusen                      Luis Saldivar                      Margaret Marmolejo

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

10. Homelessness & Social Services

**Adam no updates .**

A) Report by the Committee Chairs

**No updates.**

11. Transportation & Works Committee

**no update**

A) Report by Committee Chair

12. Outreach Committee

A) Report by Committee Chairs

B) Discussion, and possible motion to recommend funding of up to \$500 for flyers, food, beverages and incidentals for Plant and Tree Give-Away to be scheduled for April (Earth Day) /May/June 2019

*Tom and I had this idea to do a plant and tree giveaway. Initial idea was to do it at the Franklin park, but parking may be tricky. There is a house across the way that may have parking. We have not confirmed a location.*

*Danielle - irony of flyers for a tree give away.*

*City plants gave us pepper plants we never used last year.*

**Motion Made: Discussion, and possible motion to recommend funding of up to \$500 for food, beverages and incidentals for Plant and Tree Give-Away to be scheduled for April (Earth Day) /May/June 2019**

**Motion:** Erin Penner                      **Second:** Brandi D'Amore                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo

Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

C) Discussion, and possible motion to ratify Outreach recommend funding of up to \$400 to print updated homeless services card.

*Erin - it would be laminated card stock.*

*Matt - has been working on something similar to this, so feel free to reach out to him on this.*

**Motion:** Adam Miller                      **Second:** Andrew Chadsey                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo

Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

D) Discussion, and possible motion to recommend funding of up to \$2500 for food, beverages, incidentals and HUNC branded emergency back-packs for completion of 7-week Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) training to be scheduled for April/May 2019

*Tabled: Adam is concerned about how much we are spending on it.*

*She spoke to Randy at the CERT main office. We can start March 1st or May 1st. Kaiser is doing it the first of March so the fire department wants it on 5/1/19. So it would be 5/1 - 6/15.*

E) Discussion, and possible motion to recommend funding of up to \$500 for flyers, food, beverages and incidentals for completion of First/Aid CPR training to be scheduled for April/May/June 2019

**Tabled**

G) Discussion, and possible motion to recommend funding of up to \$300 for flyers, food, beverages and incidentals for completion of Stop the Bleed class to be scheduled for April/May/June 2019

**Motion:** Margaret Marmolejo                      **Second:** George Skarpelos                      **Vote:** Yes-13, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

**Yes**

Adam Miller	Andrew Chadsey	Brandi D'Amore	Chona Galvez	Coyote Shivers	Danielle Paris
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	Jeff Ramberg	Jim Van Dusen	Luis Saldivar	Margaret Marmolejo

Matt Wait

**Ineligible**

Violet Williams

13. Update on upcoming NC elections and activities

*Georae - he is hobina for an election committee meetina next week sometime. Some people have had issues with the portal. Maraaret*

*was having issues with the system. She talked to Mike in the City Clerk office - she filled it out, scanned it and Mike says it is fine.*

*Coyote - is concerned the issues may be ongoing.*

*George - the new will take you to register, not the login page which some found confusing.*

*Brandi - people were given a month to do it.*

*Adam - Can we approach the clerk's office and ask for an extension?*

*George - we asked at the last meeting. They said moving the date was a great idea, but we cannot change the rule of the city clerk.*

*Possibly a legal reason.*

*Area A is still open, business is open. We have someone who may run for the education seat.*

*George - did a MailChimp outreach to those who signed up at the farmers market event.*

14. Renters and Housing Issues Committee

*No updates.*

A) Report by Committee Chairs

*Motion Made: Report by Committee Chair*

15. Finance

*Adam's computer is broken so he needs another month to get it together.*

A) Discussion of current fiscal year budget and possible motion for adjustment

*Tabled*

B) Discussion and vote to approve pending Monthly Expense Report for submission

*Tabled*

16. Board Member announcements on items not on the Agenda

*If you want coffee for the meetings you have to show up a couple hours to warm it up.*

17. Old/Ongoing Business

*George - there was a miscommunication on his part. So we could have renters and housing would meet 6-7pm and Nonprofit meet from 7pm on. However we could have Renters and Housing on the third Thursday. Luis is on both renters and housing and nonprofit. PLUM may be asking for one of those Thursday.*

*Margaret - will do 7pm on fourth Thursday - for renters and Nonprofit.*

*Adam - requests taking a moment to reaffirm the code of conduct agreement. Especially online in relation to bullying or being rude to other members. That sort of behavior is a breach of the code of conduct. They also need to remember not to promote products through the council. This needs to go through further discussion and he would like it to be on the next agenda.*

18. New/Future Business

*Violet - we planning an at her middle school event that will be giving information on the dangers of vaping.*

*Jan 31st*

*Margaret - 1/19 there is a street cleaning happening. She has flyers.*

*Erin is collecting new socks for the homeless.*

Adjournment at 8:38 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.