

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

George Skarpelos, Voting Stakeholder
Rosalind Helfand, Voting Stakeholder
Robert Morrison, Board Member
Brandi D'Amore, Board Member
Cesar Cervera, Voting Stakeholder
Jim Van Dusen, Chair



HOLLYWOOD UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
Certified Council #52,
P.O. Box 3272 Los Angeles, CA 90078
www.MyHUNC.org email us at Info@MyHUNC.org

COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee

Thursday, December 1, 2022, 6:30 PM

Webinar ID: 845 0435 7879

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84504357879>

Cesar Cervera left the meeting at 7:20PM.

Welcome

6:31 PM start

1. Roll Call

Attending

Jim Van Dusen Cesar Cervera Brandi D'Amore Robert Morrison George Skarpelos

Absent

Rosalind Helfand

2. Approval of Minutes

Motion passed.

Motion Made: Approval of November '22 Minutes

Motion: Jim Van Dusen **Second:** Robert Morrison **Vote:** Yes-4, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Brandi D'Amore Cesar Cervera Jim Van Dusen Robert Morrison

3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

None

4. Continuation from a prior month's PLUM meeting of the review and possible motion regarding 2332 N. Allview Terrace East/2371 N. Allview Terrace East: Project: Renovation & addition of a single family dwelling on a substandard street in a hillside/new construction of a detached ADU on a lot-tied adjacent property. Action Requested: Requesting a Zoning Administrator Determination per a Hillside Referral Form.

Rachel Bullock presented for the applicant and advised that the finalized approvals that were needed for this meeting were not complete. The item was not considered and will be rescheduled when the documents are finalized.

5. Review and potential motion regarding Council File 22-1154 and the "piggyback" agreement proposal of the IKE "Interactive Kiosk Experience" program for digital signage.

After discussion, Jim Van Dusen made the motion to oppose the IKE program and provide a CIS regarding the denial.

The motion to contain the following: The HUNC is opposed to the proposed IKE program based on the following:

1. The fact that most the IKE's (kiosks) will be digital displays increases the safety component in that drivers will be distracted looking at the flashing and changing displays on critical intersections including at turn points. It is particularly dangerous when digital displays are placed on intersections.

2. This significant expansion of digital signage advertising will increase urban blight throughout the city.

3. There are no controls over the data collection by the kiosk companies of pedestrian or driver's information and how the data will be

used.

4. *There has been a lack of community input into this motion's proposals. Any expansion of digital display kiosks needs a full community and environmental review.*

5. *Piggybacking on the City of Houston is entirely inappropriate as the building codes are vastly different from Los Angeles.*

6. *Putting the kiosks on the sidewalks in the public right of way takes away public access to the sidewalks. In addition, there are significant Americans with Disabilities Act issues which mitigate against putting kiosks on the sidewalks.*

7. *The primary functions of the kiosks is supposedly to provide more information to visitors to the city as well as provide some income to the city. Today's reality is that individuals get most of their directional information from their cell phones which renders the kiosks obsolete and irrelevant even before the program is to start.*

8. *HUNC supports the letter sent to the city council on October 20, 2022 (Report No. R22-0358) and the substantive and procedural matters that must first occur if the IKE program progresses.*

9. *HUNC has also issued a CIS in opposition to the Metro Transportation Communication Network (TCN) and motion CF 22-0392 for the same reasons as above. The combination of the TCN's and IKE's are significant safety issues and need to be curtailed.*

Motion passed.

Motion Made: Recommend motion TO REJECT Council File 22-1154 and the "piggyback" agreement proposal of the IKE "Interactive Kiosk Experience" program for digital signage with the motion points.

Motion: Jim Van Dusen

Second: George Skarpelos

Vote: Yes-5, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Brandi D'Amore

Cesar Cervera

George Skarpelos

Jim Van Dusen

Robert Morrison

6. Discussion and possible motion regarding continuing HUNC PLUM Committee meetings via ZOOM after March 1, 2023

Cesar Cervera out 7:20PM.

The consensus was that a hybrid approach as being considered by DONE is preferred. No motion or vote taken.

7. Discussion regarding combining PLUM and Transportation and Works committees

After discussion, the consensus was that combining them would not take place but that the president would contact the PLUM chair to add T&W items if there was no quorum for T&W and the PLUM agenda could accommodate the addition. There was no vote taken.

8. No other votes were taken and the meeting adjourned 7:49 pm

8. Committee Member announcements on items not on the Agenda

9. Old/Ongoing Business

10. New/Future Business

Adjournment at 7:49 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.