

OFFICERS:

PRESIDENT George Skarpelos
VICE-PRESIDENT Tom Meredith
TREASURER Sheila Irani
SECRETARY Erin Penner



BOARD MEMBERS:

Andrew Chadsey Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore Coyote Shivers
Fouzia Burfield Jim Van Dusen
Luis Saldivar Margaret Marmolejo
Marshall Cobb Matt Wait
Maureen Diekmann Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison Susan Swan
Theresa Gio Tony Zimbardi

HOLLYWOOD UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
Certified Council #52,
P.O. Box 3272 Los Angeles, CA 90078
www.MyHUNC.org email us at Info@MyHUNC.org

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Monday, December 14, 2020, 6:30 PM

Webinar ID: 828 3698 1526

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82836981526>

Welcome

6:34 PM start

1. Roll Call

Attending

Susan Swan	Tom Meredith	Sheila Irani	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Coyote Shivers
Margaret Marmolejo	Erin Penner	Brandi D'Amore	Matt Wait	Andrew Chadsey	Michael Connolly
Fouzia Burfield	Marshall Cobb	Robert Morrison	Maureen Diekmann	Tony Zimbardi	Bianca Cockrell
Theresa Gio					

Excused

Luis Saldivar

2. Approval of Minutes

Motion: George Skarpelos **Second:** Margaret Marmolejo **Vote:** Yes-42, No-0, Abstain-5, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore
Brandi D'Amore	Coyote Shivers	Coyote Shivers	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Erin Penner	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen
Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Matt Wait	Matt Wait
Matt Wait	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Michael Connolly	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani
Sheila Irani	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi

Abstain

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith
----------------	----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb
---------------	---------------	---------------

3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

Stakeholder Alex asked if the neighborhood council found it appropriate that Coyote Shivers has his shirt wide open during a NC call, and if they wanted to comment on that. Mr. Shivers commented that he is in Waikiki and is sacrificing his time to still participate on the board, despite the fact that some people pay thousands of dollars to be in Waikiki.

4. Community Updates

A) Report by the Youth Representative

Marshall Cobb said he wanted to focus on doing a drive for the homeless for resources that might be particularly in need during a pandemic or just general resources. He was hoping to hear from the board what items might be helpful. Since his school is still

holding sports practices, he was thinking of doing the drive via those programs and contacting the community services leader at his school to coordinate. George Skarpelos suggested bringing it to the homelessness and social services committee. Erin and Sheila confirmed that they could meet next Monday, and Erin said she would love to meet Mr. Cobb then.

B) Introduction and presentation of CD 4 Council Member Nithya Raman

George Skarpelos welcomed Councilmember Raman as the new CD4 councilmember. Councilmember Raman said it was her first day, and this was her very first public-facing meeting as a councilmember. She is very excited to be here and to be speaking to the attendees and board members. Some of the names among the panelists are familiar to her, some are not, so she would like to take the opportunity to introduce herself, her background, her training, and talk about the prep work her team has done to prepare for this day and to orient people if they wanted to reach out to her office going forward.

She explained that she comes to her current position through a relatively winding path: she is an urban planner by training with a master's degree from MIT, and most of the work she has done in her career has been focused on urban poverty issues in the non-profit sector. She spent a lot of her time in India fighting for people lacking basic services like clean running water, toilets, and land rights. She created a non-profit positioned to produce the kind of urban planning data that helped those individuals lobby the gov't for those services and others. Here in LA, most of her experience has been with the issue of homelessness. She worked for the city years ago on the issue and wrote a report detailing troubling issues, including how money was being spent. The majority was spent on policing and criminalizing rather than helping people move from encampments into housing. She wrote about it then and pushed the city to take a more proactive stance on housing. She left city hall, then she had twins and left the workforce for a while, taking time off from paid work outside of the home. When she was at home, she formed the SELAH Neighborhood Homeless Coalition, which serves a number of neighborhoods along the LA river. They started by meeting up at a park and doing outreach and realized there were very few resources for people in this area, so they lobbied the government to bring those services there. They then actually came together and brought those services to their neighborhood themselves as volunteers: showers, hot meals, and raising money to bring case management workers here. Between that, they managed to get people housed in their neighborhood who had been unhoused for a long time.

Councilmember Raman has served on her own NC's homelessness committee as a co chair, and she decided to run because she got frustrated with interacting with the city and feeling resistance to policies that might mitigate the housing crisis, of which homelessness is only one part. They have to protect tenants and preserve a supply of affordable housing. She realized this unwillingness to take action on some of the issues and points on which the city had incredible control were some of the issues she cared about the most. She also wanted to run because she had been engaged on local issues for a long time and wanted to see local politics that had much wider engagement in it. She wanted to see people talking and debating and being informed about the issues.

Mr. Skarpelos reminded Councilmember Raman that he wanted to leave time for questions. She acknowledged, saying she would like to emphasize how her campaign was centered around proactive outreach to residents. They knocked on a ton of doors and did outreach in ways that was COVID-safe. Through that work, they did a lot of civic engagement and civic education work, and they got people excited about local politics and a local election, and they are taking office at a time when that outreach is incredibly important. She is taking office at a time of an enormous public health crisis and city budget crisis, and there are so many more people that have expectations and needs right now. She hopes that they can do that kind of proactive outreach work now in office. She wanted to speak on 2 more things before questions.

Her office has been doing a lot of prep work for this moment, and have armed themselves with good advice, with a transition advisory team including Sara Dusseault, former chief of staff for Councilmember Ryu, Miguel Santana, her former boss, and Victor Naro, an expert on labor, and others talking about labor issues and issues facing vulnerable residents in this city. Armed with this team, they will hopefully be able to take on the challenges of the district, and they are meeting with all of the departments, talking with committee groups, non-profits, and NC's, and introducing themselves to make sure they are responding to anything already in the works. And finally, they are coming in with a smaller team, but will be growing over the next few weeks. They have already been taking concerns and addressing issues. Attendees can call the office number or reach out to the campaign account. It's contact@nithyaforthecity.com; someone will follow up within 24 hours, and phone calls are answered during working hours as always. She asked those attending to educate her office, which is here to learn, and they have benefited from working with Councilmember Ryu's staff who have been very generous with their knowledge. Attendees can also email at contactCD4@lacity.org, and her office's number is 213-473-7004.

Matt Wait thanked the councilmember for coming to HUNC's meeting on her first day, and said the board really appreciated it. He apologized that he thought they were rude and cut her off, assuring her that they do not normally do that. HUNC has had inconsistent participation by its city councilmembers at these meetings; Mr. Wait asked how often she or a field deputy would be able to attend. Councilmember Raman said that they are hoping to staff up in a way that has more field staff. They have just done an analysis of council offices across the city, and they want to make sure they have enough field staff that they can attend every NC meeting regularly and brief board members. For committee meetings that HUNC wants the office to be present for, she encourages them to reach out to her office proactively.

Brandi D'Amore thanked Councilmember Raman for coming in on her first day. She asked if she knew who her field and planning deputies are. Councilmember Raman said that they are just hiring up on that and she can announce those next week. It will take a

little more time to make announcements and onboard people. If people have any issues, they can reach out to the emails and phone number previously provided since they already have people addressing those. The timeline for city council allows her office a little breathing room at this point: over the next few weeks they have a little cushion because the next big City Council meeting after tomorrow doesn't happen until Jan 12. There's a little cushion there to make sure they are prepped for any future meetings.

Ms. D'Amore asked if Councilmember Raman was planning on maintaining certain commitments that former Councilmember Ryu did with the Villa Carlotta, some planning projects, and a new green deal, or if they will have to start over again. She said she did not believe so and did not want to commit before knowing the details, but said if the board wants to let her office know now or flag certain projects that they should be aware of, let her know. Ms. D'Amore said that they have had a few problem properties in the neighborhood, so she can talk to the councilmember's deputy of planning, since there were commitments Ryu made against those properties. Also, sanitation issues have become insurmountable, so HUNC would hope to get her assistance in pushing the city and Caltrans to clean up the parts of the city they're responsible for. Councilmember Raman is in an unusual position in that HUNC's problems with the trash are in CD13 but affect CD4, and commitments were made by CD4 to devote budget money to clean it up. Councilmember Raman said she is happy to look into it, as it seems it's a reasonable response. She would just like to make sure they know exactly where they are and what's been going on. She asked if she should follow up with Ms. D'Amore directly, and Ms. D'Amore said she can follow up with her, or come to the committee, but that's up to Mr. Skarpelos. Mr. Skarpelos said if people want to email him what the councilmember should be considering, he can send it as one big email. Councilmember Raman added that that would be helpful for us to maintain accountability.

Erin Penner thanked the Councilmember for being there and congratulated her, asking what her priorities are, and if there is any certain thing that she feels she can accomplish quickly so that HUNC's area will feel the effects quickly. Councilmember Raman said that homelessness and homelessness response have been a priority, and she really thinks there is a way to build out a system of neighborhood-level services that can be more effective than what the city has put together now in Los Angeles. And she thinks that will help resolve some of the challenges that neighborhoods are facing in response to increased homelessness. She hopes that housed and unhoused neighbors will see improvements. She does think they are entering an intense period with COVID, and in some ways the priorities for the next short term will have to revolve around providing people as much as possible city resources or info as they need to make sure they are getting through this period healthy, safe, and housed, and trying to understand from their outreach to residents where they can fix gaps in legislation to be more effective. She feels a lot of the people who need support and case management, and hand holding haven't reached out to the city yet. And with the new legislative team coming in, they can go back to campaign policy documents and come back in January with concrete policy actions.

Jim Van Dusen, since the Councilmember's district has within its areas significant hillside fire safety issues, tourism issues, and a tremendous amount of dissatisfaction about what's going on, suggested adding someone to her staff with experience in those issues. He suggested that she have someone or a volunteer team or some team of folks familiar with the issues in the last 7-8 years in this area, the significant period of time. HUNC has dealt with the tough issues, now they are up against the conundrums, and people are very passionate about them. He asked her to please not misunderstand their vocalness in the hills as an attack: they love their city, they have been here for decades, and are interested in protecting what they have, especially Hollywood. It's very special. The valley side and the Hollywood side have a lot of the same issues. He welcomed the Councilmember and said that HUNC looks forward to working with her.

Councilmember Raman said she takes that point to heart, and even during the campaign they spent time speaking to people who live in these areas, trying to understand what their concerns are. She knows that there's been a lot of proposals and strongly-held opinions on both sides. The issues are complex, and people have had strong opinions for and against proposals that have come forth to mitigate these issues. She is here to learn more and takes Mr. Van Dusen's point that they pay these issues mind when staffing and governing to heart and welcomes his thoughts on people they should be speaking to if they haven't spoken to them yet, and she can confer with him offline about that.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil introduced herself as the president of the Lake Hollywood HOA, and she congratulated and thanked her for being here this evening, saying it means a lot to a lot of people. Ms. O'Neil said that there have been a lot of complexity and band-aid situations. The draw to the hillsides is not just the trails and exercising, it's also the Hollywood sign. And she knows the city has been devastated by the lack of tourism. But it brings crime up there, and it's something that needs to be looked at before the vaccines start getting out. She asked the Councilmember to look at that sooner before they get inundated and flooded again by tourists foreign and domestic. She added that the district is a huge, varied area, but there, GPS bringing tourists is really hurting them. The councilmember asked if her office has a meeting with the Lake Hollywood HOA yet, and Ms. O'Neil said they didn't, but have been working on that and they will email her.

Stakeholder Sylvie congratulated the councilmember, and explained that she is a tenant advocate, and her work is always what is best for the tenants, from the lens of someone who has been evicted. Time and time again in the tenant movement Sylvie has witnessed advocates tokenize tenants for social or political capital. She asked what the councilmember will do to make sure she is hearing and empowering the voices of those who are experiencing struggle over activists who are often louder and more visible.

Councilmember Raman explained that when her team built some of the policies they were working on through the campaign, she tried to make sure they were building policy by going out to people who had been impacted by those issues first and inviting their feedback on any policy the team was putting together. She envisions doing something similar in office with a more institutionalized structure to solicit feedback. She would love Sylvie's feedback on that, and what it would look like to address her

specific concern. She suggested scheduling a call later this month or next month with Sylvie to address that, which she appreciated.

Councilmember Raman said that next time she comes to the HUNC board meeting, she won't do the majority of the talking, instead she will introduce who will be working with this neighborhood, and she looks forward to a strong working partnership with HUNC. She has heard a lot about projects and conversations that are midway, and she wants to make sure they do not drop any of the balls that are in the air, but they cannot do that without the help of HUNC and its stakeholders, so she encourages them to actively reach out and let her know.

C) Update from NCSA liaison Rosalind Helfand

Rosalind Helfand introduced herself as the HUNC representative to the Neighborhood Council Sustainability Alliance. She summarized that there are 62 members of the alliance, and their last big full meeting was November 14th and focused on how NC's are taking various actions to support implementing LA's Green New Deal, including 100% renewable energy by 2030, diverting 100% of waste from landfills by 2050, etc. One of the NC's did a discussion and presentation about implementing bicycle safe slow streets. Then they had presentations including opportunities NC's might want to share with their communities, such as an American Green Zone Alliance opportunity, which works with cities and companies that do landscaping and works on converting gas power tools to electric power tools. One of the things that is being explored with the Transportation Committee is doing some sort of EV workshop to talk about incentives and support for putting in EV's and chargers, which will hopefully be brought before the Transportation Committee this month. If anyone wants to attend the workshop on EV incentives from NCSA earlier, one is coming up Dec 16 from 7-8:30 PM.

Ms. Helfand added that the City Council Climate Change and Environmental Justice Committee has begun the process to shut down drilling sites in neighborhoods. HUNC submitted a CIS in favor of this, so it is great to see NC's support the process of shutting down oil wells. The City of LA has also started divesting pension funds from fossil fuels, but in a very slow way. NCSA suggests that NC's who have not submitted a CIS yet do so. The committee is also asking for CIS's for transparency about power plant leaks. Apparently, they're a big problem around the city and can release a variety of climate change gasses.

5. Comments from any City, County, State or Federal representatives in attendance (5 minutes each)

Seamus Garrity, field representative for Assembly member Laura Friedman introduced himself, explaining that he has been corresponding with a few of the board members over the past few months regarding Caltrans issues and maintenance policy directive, beginning early in the pandemic and continuing until today. He sent Sheila Irani an invitation to the assembly member's contactless toy drive happening this week in Burbank to distribute. The board also may have heard yesterday that the assembly member was named Chair of the Transportation Committee, transitioning from being the Chair of the Natural Resources committee. It oversees Caltrans, so they have meetings set up at various levels. Her legislative package will be introduced later this month and early next month. They worked to try to change the way speed limits are determined. Legislation has been introduced in response to the findings of the Vision Zero task force, and he thinks there will be a slightly different direction for the Transportation Committee moving forward.

Regarding Caltrans service, in normal times with Caltrans, stakeholders would file a Customer Service Request, and in normal times they could send that CSR to Mr. Garrity so he could follow up with Caltrans. He has worked often with CD13 and CD4 and the Mayor's office to coordinate cleanups because they're over multiple jurisdictions and they're about trying to get people into the Coordinated Entry System. Caltrans policy is that there isn't enough housing, so they put up fences, and the next day people have cut through them and created new encampments. In Hollywood, the cleanups need to move up the queue, and in some areas, there are not encampments, but just debris fields. There is a new Caltrans District 7 director, and they have meetings set up with him. There is a new liaison with City Council, and they have requested Caltrans increase the number of people liaising with city hall. We're also having meetings coming to see how we can move up CSRs. They're currently slower than ever because they're not allowed to send their regular teams, they have to contract to teams in hazmat suits.

Erin Penner thanked Mr. Garrity for the update, she and Brandi D'Amore talk about the sanitation a ton, and they even had a fire last week because it's been insanity next to the freeways lately. Speaking for the stakeholders as well, she asked if Mr. Garrity could explain what they would do regarding concerns/COVID concerns, what they would go to Mr. Garrity for, and what they would go to Supervisor Kuehl's office for.

Mr. Garrity explained that the county is tasked with dealing with the providers. On what happens at a state level, Caltrans is state property, so when there's cleanups that have to happen under overpasses or on onramps or offramps, the city and county tend to need California Highway Patrol and Caltrans teams to do that work. There are issues of liability and jurisdiction. In terms of getting people into housing, the state has provided money through various ways to the county and city. The state does not lead in that effort. They have helped in certain instances, but the county takes the lead with homelessness and the city is tasked with building the housing.

Ms. D'Amore thanked Mr. Garrity for all of his help and asks if he feels that the state is putting itself in a place of liability when they have literally hundreds of rodents now infesting some of the areas, and if that fact would push them to go faster. Mr. Garrity said he could not speak to any potential litigation or lawsuits. Honestly, they would like to have a bit more direct control over what Caltrans does, but it's not as direct as many people would like, so in terms of getting Caltrans to the cleanup sites, they are doing everything we can.

Stakeholder Samir Srivastava said that he serves on the Hollywood Studio District NC PLUM Committee and has been a stakeholder in CD4 and CD13. He explained that Caltrans has a program for highway sponsorships and asked if Mr. Garrity can you push Caltrans to explore sponsorship opportunities for these areas. Mr. Garrity said they can explore that; he does not know what it could lead to but thinks it's a great idea. He thinks one of the problems Caltrans has is liability with the cleanup programs. And letting people go out without highway patrol or a Caltrans team or maintenance teams or both, he does not know where they would fall on that. Mr. Srivastava said that the current plan is when you apply, you're required to hire a Caltrans-approved cleanup team. He thinks they as a community are really frustrated with dealing with Caltrans, and he hears the frustration in Mr. Garrity's voice, but he thinks they can start small and approach entities in the neighborhood to explore sponsorship opportunities on Gower, Cahuenga, and other areas.

George Skarpelos said that they have personally talked about the challenges of working with Caltrans in redoing the Vine Street Overlook. And Caltrans put up a lot of impediments. So, he hopes they can work with Mr. Garrity's office and Caltrans to get through that.

Josh Kurpies introduced himself as a field deputy for assembly member Richard Bloom, and announced that the legislature was sworn in on December 7 and will go back to work in January to start the new legislative session. Everything is currently on schedule, but with the numbers in COVID increasing, he is not sure what that's going to do. Last year they were sent home early, went back into session, then got extended break. They do know the amount of bills a legislator can introduce will be reduced: only 12 will go from assembly over to senate no matter how many they introduce. It doesn't mean the other bills die, just that they will go to next year. Last year they saw what the public felt were bills being crammed through. They're reducing the number of bills so more time can be spent on each bill. The governor has announced the CalNotify app which has been set up with Google and Apple to be preset on your iPhone, though Android users have to download the app. About vaccine distribution, 83,000 doses will be delivered to hospitals in the LA area, then distributed to hospitals throughout LA county to vaccinate their frontline healthcare workers. By January, they should be getting 250,000 doses a week. He said his office is here to help, and they have a stretch of the 101 in Hollywood. They are happy to help with those, and everything Mr. Garrity said was correct. It is frustrating. Caltrans works for the governor, not the legislature. It's hard for assembly member Bloom's office to hold them accountable considering how little of the HUNC area they are responsible for. He is optimistic about the new district director, and learned he got started today so they are setting up a meeting with him about Bloom's priorities: safety issues and homelessness.

Stakeholder Alex said he knows that assembly member Bloom is a cosponsor of the bill expanding the Tenant Stabilization Act of 2021, and since Mr. Kurpies said introducing bills will be more challenging, he asked if he could speak to the timeline of that bill knowing how many people in this neighborhood are under threat of eviction due to COVID. He also asked how they can get AB15 passed?

Mr. Kurpies said he was guessing AB15 is the one about extending the moratorium, and Alex confirmed that it also creates additional restrictions for landlords so they cannot negatively impact a tenant's credit, etc., and Bloom is a co-author. Kurpies confirmed this and said it had already been introduced on December 7. The intent of the bill is to extend the moratorium until December of next year, and they would like the public's comments and support now so they can begin to figure out how they can get this bill through. There's already opposition from the California Apartment Association. Bloom's office needs to hear about what things are important in that bill and what might need to be changed. In January, they're going to go back into session, and they will do policy hearings, and about 4-6 weeks of policy committee meetings before it goes to finance and appropriations. There are deadlines set by the rules, but also rules by the state constitution. They have more leeway this year to change those, but there are some constitutional rules they cannot miss, so he told stakeholders to get their comments in to Bloom's office ASAP. Alex said that there is strong support from stakeholders in this area, and he will reach out via email.

Sean Starkey, field representative for Councilmember Mitch O'Farrell, announced that the Salvation Army shelter continues to move forward. The funding was approved in council, and they are just waiting on a temporary change of use permit to operate a shelter. Another location they are looking at on Santa Monica Blvd is currently going through inspection by nonprofit Brilliant Corners, and hopefully they will report on feasibility and the city will work on getting it operational fast. They also created a special enforcement zone around the bridge home site between Santa Monica and Fountain.

Mr. Starkey's said that lastly, the LAHSA homeless convention that usually takes place in January has been canceled, but the city and county of LA are only required to do it every other year. They will be covering the sheltered population. And Councilmember O'Farrell moved forward a motion for live theaters in the districts to apply for a grant. It's in committee right now but will hopefully be up in January.

Erin Seinfeld introduced herself as a field representative for Supervisor Sheila Kuehl, SD3. Her main update on COVID was touched on by Mr. Kurpies: the first COVID-19 vaccine was administered in LA County. They received the first shipment at one of the 9 prepositioned sites, with another 8 receiving theirs in the next 2 days. They have 82,875 doses, hopefully receiving two other allotments in December and January. They are helping prepare acute care hospitals for this undertaking. A 2nd allocation later this month will inoculate healthcare personnel, and she suggests signing up for updates: they will be hearing a lot of news about the vaccine. As the Supervisor's office sees the rollout and the plan, they will continue to provide info. The Department of Public Health confirms over 7000 new cases; due to a delay in one of the biggest labs, they will see a big spike tomorrow. There are 4,203 people hospitalized, 20% are in the ICU, so the number reflects an increase of over a thousand patients in a week. This is concerning and frightening. DPH thinks by next weekend, more than 50% of ICU beds will be occupied by COVID patients and over 5000 people hospitalized. They are very concerned about the pressure on the healthcare system. There's a lot of fatigue from everyone, so please

be safe.

If you haven't heard of Lease Up LA, it matches underserved renters with landlords that have vacant units. Stakeholders can go to leaseupla.org. The benefits of the program are holding fees to minimize loss, and dedicated housing specialists with 24/7 customer support. Supervisor Kuehl had a motion that directs their CEO to explore up to \$10 million in CARES act funding and other sources to enter into contract with World Kitchen, giving a much needed infusion to local restaurants. It is similar to the Great Plates Delivered program. If you do know a senior in need, that program was extended to December 30th. Lastly, they have gotten a lot of communication about tour buses, and she did get complete confirmation that they are illegal to run right now. Stakeholders can contact the Department of Public Health if they see one running to give them the company's info.

LAPD Senior Lead Officer Brian White announced that for the year the area, crime is down in some areas and up in some areas. There was a rise in homicides, aggravated assaults, and car theft. The aggravated assaults involved shootings focused on the Hollywood Entertainment District. It has been a relatively tough year, but they are still here and still doing as best they can with the resources they have. HED officers have recovered a large number of firearms from vehicles. There is a lot of work to do, and they are hoping for better times in 2021.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil said that in Lake Hollywood, because of the shared phone system, they cannot get ahold of their Senior Lead Officers at all and calling the non-emergency line doesn't do those stakeholders any good. Also, LAPD officers aren't writing citations or reports, and reports aren't being filed. Officer White explained that the phone number for their area did change, and when LAPD all got upgraded with different phones, they could not port that number over. So, the East Hollywood Hills SLO number did change, and he can get it to her if she sends him an email. Ms. O'Neil said that emails don't work as well. Officer White added, regarding reports not being filed, a lot of party house citations are A citations that have a different reporting scheme.

6. HUNC Committee & Liaison announcements on items not on the Agenda

There were no announcements.

7. Executive Committee

A) Report by the President

No report. George Skarpelos reminded everyone who has been appointed to the board that under HUNC bylaws they have to run for the seat, and hopefully they are finding it an interesting or worthwhile experience.

8. PLUM Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

No report.

B) Review and motion to approve: Project: 5600 Franklin Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90028. Project Description: Proposed 5 story 41 Units over subterranean Garage, 35 Units market rate & 6 Units VLI. Parking stall provided as follow 44 std+19 compact=60 stall. Project has 3 incentive, 1. 25% open space reduction 2. 22' Height increase 3. Side setback 30% reduction. Requested that developer also review architecture and vegetation so that project is consistent with the area

Jim Van Dusen explained that the project is a proposed 5-story building with 3 incentives. Action requested is to approve the project with the three incentives. To confuse things more, Transit Oriented Districts/Communities are involved, and the SNAP Western Planning Area are involved. So there's actually two incentive programs that the project can avail themselves of in exchange for the 6 very low income units.

Brandi D'Amore said that they have possibly 4 board members who have to recuse themselves: Maureen Diekmann and Erin Penner are within 500 feet, and possibly Fouzia Burfield and Bianca Cockrell. Ms. Burfield said she is outside that 500 foot radius. Ms. D'Amore asked if the recused are permitted to listen and provide input. George Skarpelos said they can listen but they have to turn off their video, then when they want to make a statement, they can raise their hands but it can't be part of the board discussion.

Jim Van Dusen explained that the project is a proposed 5-story building with 3 incentives. Action requested is to approve the project with the three incentives. To confuse things more, Transit Oriented Districts/Communities are involved, and the SNAP Western Planning Area are involved. So there's actually two incentive programs that the project can avail themselves of in exchange for the 6 very low income units.

Ms. Cockrell, Ms. Penner, and Ms. Diekmann recused themselves from the agenda item.

Mr. Van Dusen explained the proposed schematics and progress. PLUM heard this and had input from local stakeholders. One comment was to have more California vegetation that would be representative of the area and drought-tolerant. Another comment was that it seemed more Beverly Hills than Hollywood. He introduced Ilan Goresetky, the project representative.

Mr. Goresetky thanked the board for their time, and had Jacques Mashihhi the architect with him to help answer questions.

Mr. Van Dusen summarized that PLUM voted to approve the project and move it forward. Mr. Goresetky confirmed that Mr. Van Dusen was accurate with his summary, and said they will definitely go for landscaping more in keeping with California, and they changed the appearance of the building to go with a more French style.

Ms. D'Amore said she will have statements after the stakeholders, but how asked how many units the project had. Mr. Goresetky said there are 41 units proposed. Ms. D'Amore asked how that broke out in terms of bedrooms, and Mr. Goresetky reminded everyone that this project was TOC, and they went with 58 parking spaces. Ms. D'Amore said she had not asked that, and Mr. Goresetky said he believed they have 22 2-bedroom units and 18 or 19 1-bedroom units. The architect Mr. Mashihhi said the revised ratio is 4 one-bedroom units, 32 two-bedroom units, and 5 three-bedroom units. Mr. Goresetky speculated that the Department of Housing will probably take 1 3-bedroom and 5 2-bedrooms.

Stakeholder Damon T said that it seems really weird how unprepared the project representative is about basic questions on the breakdown of rooms. Secondly it's disappointing to see another project with an overwhelming number of parking spaces when this site is, compared to the rest of LA, well-situated for public transit. This is another project that encourages car use. Also, to be honest Mr. T thought the representative sounded a little shifty about the VLI affordable units, and thought it seems like he didn't want to answer it at all.

Stakeholder Alex wanted to express his deep concern for yet another project providing less than 15% affordable housing. According to LA Voice which is a local coalition of faith leaders, they have lost 12,500 Hollywood residents to increased rents and a lack of affordable housing. At a certain point, he thinks what appears to be radical, opposing a building that would otherwise be approved, is actually the right course of action. And he thinks everyone knows they are in a housing crisis. He cannot understand how HUNC would approve this.

Stakeholder Lisa Redmond agreed with all of the previous speakers and said it is really sad that in this day and age that they are thinking more about cars and not about taking advantage of transportation that's available. They have experienced some incredible smog and environmental concerns in LA and it's ridiculous that they keep encouraging the use of cars. And she also agrees, there's such a lack of affordable housing, and she questions why they are building so much market rate housing. And to be honest, it isn't that pretty of a building. She would encourage HUNC to deny this proposal.

Mr. Van Dusen noted that there are no residential buildings being torn down and replaced by this. They can talk about the amount of affordable housing there should be, and it is certainly a good and appropriate discussion, but it's just a lot being used as a car wash. And there was a question about environmental issues, and according to the applicants the area is being monitored by the state.

Ms. D'Amore highly recommended that people discussing affordable housing write to their representatives about TOC's: they give developers by-right permissions to bypass certain development aspects. In some respects, HUNC has its hands tied. She wishes the developer would have provided these specs to HUNC earlier. The property does not seem to fulfill the Vermont/Western SNAP, which supersedes the TOC according to the legislation. To that end, the height on this property far exceeds the height maximums. Vermont SNAP indicates the max height you can do is 15 feet higher than adjacent lots. Directly south is a single family home, as is the lot to the west, and the lots on the east are low-level two-floor properties. Additionally there's a requirement to match as best you can the architecture of the neighborhood, and though the developer has spent a lot of time doing what they've done, it doesn't match the architecture of the neighborhood. Most of the area is turn of the century single family homes or mid-century 2-story properties. Regarding parking issues, while it is a TOC thing, they have shown that TOCs reduce the amount of people that actually use transportation. This project will bring in a lot of people for which additional parking will be necessary to prevent additional street congestion.

Mr. Goresetky said he thinks there has been a misunderstanding: they are not part of the SNAP, the property adjacent to them is a 59 feet building, and the property behind them is close to 40 feet. They have no residential near them. The property attached to them on the left is 60 foot. The property across the street is 38 feet, and the property behind is 39 feet. Further, the TOC supersedes, they are not part of the SNAP. They did not have to put parking on this project; they are putting subterranean parking in the project at cost to us, 14 spots. The reason they have 58 parking spots is to create comfortability. The building is a state of the art French style building, and as far as parking, they have more than enough. Out of 41 units, they are giving 6 very low income units, when they only needed to have 4. Mr. Goresetky said he is a local developer who lives in Hancock Park and cares about the community. They are spending millions and millions of dollars on this project, and they will create a beautiful project.

Ms. D'Amore said she can show him that he is part of the Vermont SNAP area, which overrides the TOC, and has pictures that show exactly what is next to their building. Mr. Goresetky said the building next to him is 60 feet. If he was wrong, the city would not allow it to happen. Ms. D'Amore said that they need to make sure to indicate that in writing. Mr. Goresetky said that there is a lot behind the property, not attached to it. Ms. D'Amore said that the Vermont SNAP, technically, since there's nothing behind the

property, means he would be able to only build 15 feet high. If he is talking about the Marcelleine, the property on the corner, that is a non-conforming property built before the housing community plan. Mr. Goresetky said he never heard about that in the code. All he is trying to say is, they cannot argue about the code. He came with good intention, they changed everything HUNC talked about last time, including changing solar and gas items.

Ms. D'Amore said that she appreciates that they need housing, but the Vermont/Western SNAP exists for a reason to maintain a certain amount of integrity. This might have been able to be discussed at their last meeting since there were concerns about the architecture, the height; she knows what they are allowed to do thanks to the TOC. The things they ARE doing are great, but that doesn't invalidate the things they need to comply with. Mr. Goresetky agreed, and said they are coming in and putting in a beautiful project to give quality of living to the neighborhood. He reiterated that they could have gotten away with half the parking, and with not dealing with the environmental issues. They want to build something beautiful that enhances the community. They are inspired by buildings like the El Royale on Rossmore.

Ms. Cockrell, recused, stated that she wanted to echo the public comments: it's great that there are 6 units of low income housing, but why is there so much parking where there's the metro down the street? She does not know much about SNAP, but they should take that into account. And "if we were wrong the city wouldn't allow us" is historically not true.

Ms. Diekmann, recused, thought that it is an improvement in the area, it works for her, and she approves of it.

Ms. Penner, recused, said that Bianca addressed her views on the project.

Matt Wait said that he is in favor of new housing on this lot, and he does think new housing is an improvement for the neighborhood, but he agrees with Ms. Cockrell and the public comments. Mr. Van Dusen knows that at one time he had pushed for PLUM to raise their standard for approving projects based on an increased amount of affordable housing. For Mr. Wait personally, he does think that when they are looking at housing development, they should be looking at who has the greatest need for that development, and he is not convinced this project addresses that. He would like to see more of that housing be subsidized.

Mr. Van Dusen said that the developers are trying to make it work for HUNC's neighborhood, and at some point, you need to look at this realistically. If they don't build here, someone else will build and it will be more egregious. Right now, they are dealing with what the law is, and these folks made some changes and concessions.

Ms. D'Amore asked, since they have had a switch from what they were told at PLUM as to what units they would have to now, if they can have some stabilization as to what units they are being promised. The Hollywood Center promised senior units and then took them away. HUNC just found out today they changed the breakdown of the kinds of room units. Mr. Goresetky said that this project changed their unit breakdown because of codes related to construction. Also, the city is choosing the low-income units, the developers have no say. And there's still 41 units, which didn't change.

Andrew Chadsey asked, regarding the SNAP issue, if they think the city will look at it, and make sure that's correct. He would hesitate to vote on something that isn't meeting what should be there. Mr. Van Dusen said that the city planning department would have already weighed in on this. Ms. D'Amore said that Craig Bullock, planning director for Councilmember O'Farrell's office, said their office cannot look into this until HUNC weighs in. The applicant told HUNC they'd been through the process, and she had gotten from Jason Hernandez from the Department of City Planning that the day of they'd gotten it. She said the planning administrator and the Zoning Administration may not necessarily have, and Mr. Van Dusen said that the project is not there yet.

Motion Made: Motion to approve: Project: 5600 Franklin Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90028. Project Description: Proposed 5 story 41 Units over subterranean Garage, 35 Units market rate & 6 Units VLI. Parking stall provided as follow 44 std+19 compact=60 stall. Project has 3 incentive, 1. 25% open space reduction 2. 22' Height increase 3. Side setback 30% reduction. Requested that developer also review architecture and vegetation so that project is consistent with the area

Motion: Jim Van Dusen **Second:** Fouzia Burfield **Vote:** Yes-28, No-10, Abstain-3, Recused-9, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield
George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen
Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Susan Swan
Theresa Gio	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi		

No

Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Theresa Gio		

Abstain

Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith			
--------------	--------------	--------------	--	--	--

Recuse

Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann			

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb			
---------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--

- C) Review and motion to approve: CVS Pharmacy located at 6201 Hollywood Blvd., Los Angeles. Project Description: A Plan Approval for the continuation of a Conditional Use Permit to allow the off-site sale of a full line of alcoholic beverages at an existing CVS Pharmacy/Drug store operating 24 hours daily. Action(s) Requested: A Plan Approval pursuant to Section 12.24 if the Los Angeles Municipal Code, to permit the continued off-site sale of a full line of alcoholic beverages at a 15,067 sq. ft. existing Pharmacy operating 24 hours daily.

Jim Van Dusen explained the background of the property, saying that the LAPD was in favor of granting the continuance in light of no issues except for perhaps window signage issues; they are not completely appropriate, and the applicant said they would look into that and report back. PLUM approved the motion to present to HUNC to approve the continuation of the conditional use permit.

Senior Lead Officer White confirmed Mr. Van Dusen was correct, only clarifying that the LAPD did not oppose the issue. He clarified that the LAPD does not "support" issues like these.

Applicant Jennifer Oden said that she thinks it is straightforward and would like to thank the PLUM committee for their time and their support, and now the full board for their time. She explained that it is simply a renewal of the existing use with no changes. There was a five-year term put in the original grant, and they are here 5 years later renewing it. She knows officer White and has enjoyed working with him on projects, and she spoke with his colleague Officer Gutierrez, who confirmed what he said. She said that Brandi D'Amore had said at the PLUM meeting there were some issues to follow up with as compliance is concerned. Ms. Oden had sent along photos to Mr. Van Dusen of the signs posted on the exterior and interior of the CVS, and where the licenses and permits are placed in the store. She also provided the ABC Lead training which the applicant is doing because they can't comply with LAPD STAR training during the pandemic. All employees are trained at the time of hire about the sale of alcohol, then twice a year after. No issues with the ABC, since it is not a problematic location.

Ms. D'Amore said that the CUP requests that the signs be on the exterior of the building; the sign that's up at the door looking out gives the impression that no alcohol or drinking is allowed once you're into the door, not on the property. Ms. Oden she will reach out to the landlord about that. Ms. D'Amore said, in talking with ABC and officers, the specific purpose of those signs is to discourage drinking on the property. There's also supposed to be language reminding women that while pregnant drinking alcohol can cause birth defects. They look like very temporary notices, if they had more permanence or size it would be better. Mr. Van Dusen said that those issues are not a substantial part of it. Ms. D'Amore added that they have some up, but not where they need to be. The biggest things are the crime stats, which they did not get to see, and the other is the state training to make sure everyone's up to date on alcohol sale training. Ms. Oden said that they are going to do that, and she will send again the pdf of their training completion certificates. There hasn't been an opportunity for STAR training in the past 9-10 months.

Stakeholder Damon T said that it sounds good for HUNC to approve this item, and though he appreciated Ms. D'Amore's tenacity with the developer, getting hung up on the signage of drinking with the public is not a worthwhile endeavor; the new LA Attorney General Gascon said he isn't going to fine or cite anybody for that.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil asked to clarify what "off-site sale" means on the agenda, asking to make sure no sale will be done off-site. Ms. Oden clarified that the ABC calls "onsite sales" anywhere you consume them onsite. Offsite sale businesses are your pharmacies, grocery stores, etc. where you consume the alcohol offsite.

Motion Made: Motion to approve: CVS Pharmacy located at 6201 Hollywood Blvd., Los Angeles. Project Description: A Plan Approval for the continuation of a Conditional Use Permit to allow the off-site sale of a full line of alcoholic beverages at an existing CVS Pharmacy/Drug store operating 24 hours daily. Action(s) Requested: A Plan Approval pursuant to Section 12.24 if the Los Angeles Municipal Code, to permit the continued off-site sale of a full line of alcoholic beverages at a 15,067 sq. ft. existing

Pharmacy operating 24 hours daily.

Motion: Jim Van Dusen **Second:** Brandi D'Amore **Vote:** Yes-51, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos
George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Margaret Marmolejo	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann
Maureen Diekmann	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison
Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	Susan Swan
Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith
Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi			

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb			
---------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--

9. Finance

A) Report by the Treasurer

Sheila Irani said that they are in great shape financially, all NPGs have been funded, and the only one left is the one they are voting for tonight. The laundry vote was disavowed because she voted on it and should have recused. She also requested that George Skarpelos, send her things he gets for AppleOne.

B) Discussion of current fiscal year budget and possible motion for adjustment

No discussion

C) Discussion and vote to approve the December Monthly Expense Report for submission

Sheila Irani summarized the MER: they are spending money on the elections now, with \$1,631 outstanding. She has submitted for banners, lawn signs, and bench ads. Nothing unusual here.

Motion Made: Motion to approve the November Monthly Expense Report for submission

Motion: Sheila Irani **Second:** Tom Meredith **Vote:** Yes-36, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-2

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore
Coyote Shivers	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield
George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	Susan Swan
Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb				
---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--

10. Ad Hoc Election Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Tom Meredith reported that the Election Committee has been meeting pretty regularly, and they have been incurring some of the expenses HUNC awarded the committee in the November meeting. To date, they have bus bench PSAs that look terrific. They have banners, one is hanging at the celebrity center, and he is still working on Gelsons. If not, he would like to put one up at Cheremoya Elementary. They have all the yard signs, and he has a set of 3 yard signs that he still needs to get. And he wants to remind all of them that they are 2 weeks ahead of the deadline to file for candidacy. Quite frankly, even among HUNC's sitting board members, their filing has been anemic. So, he does not know if they are not going to run or are going to, but they have got 2 weeks. He thanked board members for responding to him in helping to grab some of these yard signs and put them up. Some of them have not, and that's frankly disappointing because it's too hard for a few people to do this kind of work.

Michael Connolly added that the Cheremoya school contact person just responded to Mr. Meredith, said it shouldn't be a problem, and that they're going to get him in touch with Mrs. Lincoln Moore at the school. The other update is the city is actually paying for the text messaging service. He does not have specifics as to how they're going to get numbers, and his contact does not have a start date yet either, but he will try and get numbers and demographics and specificity. Rolling out of that HUNC does not have to do anything with, so those are the two big updates.

Erin Penner wanted to say that it is hard for people in the flats with no yards, but if Mr. Meredith wants her to take signs and put them in the grassy parts of sidewalks, she can do that, but some of them don't have anywhere to put signs. Brandi D'Amore said she would send Ms. Penner policy for where they can put them, and if she agrees, she can ask for clarification. Mr. Connolly thought, to that point, just respond to the Outreach Committee whether they can take lawn signs or cannot. And to Erin's point, Mr. Meredith said that he was collecting feedback on the things that George Skarpelos provided. Mr. Skarpelos said the takeaway from this is when Mr. Meredith or Mr. Connolly sends something out, please respond to them and get back to them with an affirmative or negative.

B) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$800 for Bus Bench PSAs for election outreach

Tom Meredith explained that they had put into the budget \$500 for the bus bench PSAs, so what they ended up doing was getting 4 at \$100 each. So their campaign covers 3 messages. The first is "Become a member of your NC", which has to do with the candidate filing period. Subsequent messages are to apply for a vote by mail ballot, which has a Jan-March period, then the 3rd message follows through with the election date. So he would like to propose the board consider \$800 for these bus bench PSAs to carry those new messages across the 4 bus benches they currently have.

Motion Made: Motion to approve up to \$800 for Bus Bench PSAs for election outreach

Motion: Tom Meredith **Second:** Brandi D'Amore **Vote:** Yes-53, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Coyote Shivers	Coyote Shivers	Coyote Shivers
Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield
George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen
Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait
Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani
Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith
Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb
---------------	---------------	---------------

11. Outreach Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Erin Penner said that most of the report is related to the discussion and motion items.

B) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$1,400 for a direct mail post card campaign for Senior/low-income for Outreach.

Matt Wait explained that this is an idea they have been talking about for a while and is glad they could get it to the full board for a vote. Some of the board might be familiar with the Central Hollywood NC project of setting up a food delivery service for low-income seniors or people with disabilities or who are otherwise unable to go out for groceries during COVID. That was the inspiration, and they have been trying to reach out to other people in their NC boundaries and offer them some resources. The postcards have some information regarding food delivery for seniors and people with disabilities, and it has an on-call rideshare service the city offers for 75 cents either way, and has info about the eviction ban. They are buying a list of population information, so these are targeted postcards. But they are making an assumption that people getting these postcards might not have reliable internet access or proficiency, so whenever possible they give phone info and provide info on the eviction moratorium just in case that information is not able to be received through an email or website, etc. Then it also mentions for those who do have internet access, it gives info on upcoming elections. The request is for the postcard printing, sorting and mailing, for the address list, and postage.

Erin Penner said that Jennifer is gone from that senior housing place, but they now as of 2 days ago have posted events from this senior center. She does not know if anyone knows about that place, it's a constant quandary to her since it looks empty. Brandi D'Amore confirmed that it is operating, and they have people in the property now. It's an affluent home, so they don't have some of the income issues that other homes for the elderly have.

Stakeholder Val wanted to say that this is an excellent idea right now. A lot of people don't appreciate how bad food scarcity is, and lower income seniors are being hit very hard. My mom works with low income seniors and a lot don't have the same access to the internet. Ms. D'Amore said she did ask if there were disabled people on the list. Mr. Meredith said he was the one who found this list and didn't overlay a disability specification on that.

Stakeholder Damon T wanted to voice support for this, the last public commenter hit the nail on the head. It is good to see that this is laid out in a way that accounts for difficulty with internet issues, and he thinks this is a great thing to support.

Ms. D'Amore asked, if the proposed BONC policy goes into effect and board members aren't allowed to highlight nonprofits anymore, is this affected by it? George Skarpelos said no, they are just providing information.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom thought this is a great idea and asked if there was any way to increase the budget. Direct mail is great but hiring people to phone bank is also great. Anything they can do to mitigate their exposure to COVID is also great.

Stakeholder Alex spoke in favor of this motion and thinks they should take care of the elderly folks in their neighborhood.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil asked, when it comes to the food delivery, if that was free delivery for the people that get this direct mail. Mr. Wait said it is not guaranteed that if you get this postcard you qualify for free delivery. But most people they get on this list will qualify. It's not like they can trade in their postcards for free delivery.

Erin Penner said, within HUNC boundaries, the senior demographic is something they need to work on with outreach. They need to do it, but for those that commented, if they want to come to the homelessness and social services committee, that technically includes the senior demographic.

Andrew Chadsey thanked the board members who worked on this.

Motion Made: Motion to approve up to \$1,400 for a direct mail post card campaign for Senior/low-income for Outreach.

Motion: Matt Wait **Second:** Tom Meredith **Vote:** Yes-51, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Coyote Shivers	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos
George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Margaret Marmolejo	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Maureen Diekmann	Maureen Diekmann
Maureen Diekmann	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison
Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan	Susan Swan
Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith
Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi			

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb			
---------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--

- C) Discussion and possible motion to submit concerns regarding the new Digital Media Policy for Neighborhood Councils and suggested replacement language.

George Skarpelos sent an email out to everybody last week to send concerns about the agenda item to Brandi D'Amore. Ms. D'Amore said just one person replied, and thanked Theresa Gio for replying. She said it was disappointing because the proposed new policy makes a number of sweeping changes; it makes it so HUNC can't highlight news about nonprofits. It also says all board voting members will have to adjust to how they respond in their digital social media. Depending on the topic, they may not be able to like something, friend someone, or make a comment. It also requires that they keep their social media ad infinitum, at least as currently written. Mr. Skarpelos added that BONC is proposing this new Digital Media Policy, and these are the issues that Ms. D'Amore thinks HUNC should request information on: how should the centralization of all NC data work, how are breaches of policy monitored. She suggests BONC should engage in workshops with actual administration and provide training; BONC may be putting undue pressure on NC administration. Perhaps BONC should establish its own media department to handle NC communication. It may create an unfair burden on a board member engaging with a topic.

Susan Swan thanked Ms. D'Amore for her hard work, and wanted to point out a letter sent by the Bel Air-Beverly Crest NC that went back to the overview of this. Basically, BABCNC appreciated the effort to set up parameters about the use of social media: in general, they found 2 major issues with this policy. It's formed from too narrow a view of the NCs' chartered purpose, and too narrow in bandwidth. BABCNC would like to register an objection to the presentation of this policy as a whole. They prefer alternatives to adapt current city policies to NC needs. The limited view of the NC purpose, the policy states that proper use for an NC's website, etc. is promotion of membership, attendance at meetings, and approved NC events. The actual chartered purpose of NCs is to promote more citizen participation in government and make government meet local needs and monitor delivery of gov't services. Ms. Swan thinks the BABCNC letter covers a lot of what we might object to. She summarized that it is an overreach on the part of DONE, and hampers HUNC's mission. Ms. D'Amore would add that the sections on private use are not vetted out. The private use component also seems overreaching. It's such a dense document that she got it down to what she could. Her other concern is that BONC wants anything going on HUNC's social media to be voted on by the board.

Mr. Skarpelos said that there is a BONC meeting on the 16th, which is why they wanted to put it on the agenda. He thinks they can take the letter Ms. Swan is submitting and add the additional component Ms. D'Amore is saying about section 9 and the onerous nature of private accounts. Ms. Swan thought they could take that letter as is, it covers everything in a nice broad way. She can forward it to Mr. Skarpelos and Ms. D'Amore. Mr. Skarpelos suggested they use the BABCNC letter as presented by Susan as HUNC's position statement.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom emphasized that HUNC is a neighborhood that is not Bel Air, the issues they face are very specific, and she thinks they owe it to themselves to template a letter from themselves that is specific to HUNC. She does not agree with Ms. D'Amore on certain things, but their first amendment rights are very important. This would effectively remove HUNC's ability to communicate on social media the way they should. She asked HUNC to please customize it for their neighborhood. It's not a good example to copy and paste knowing they have specific issues they face that will affect their ability to talk on their own channels.

Stakeholder Damon T echoed Ms. Bloom's comment. He would also like to note that BONC is basically a subsidiary of DONE, and the two of them exist to shield the city from liability, so he would take anything coming from those groups with a pinch of salt. This is a bad idea to muzzle everybody on an NC. These are people who want to get involved and volunteer. He hopes that HUNC should not just take whatever BABCNC gave them and hunker down and be strenuously against this.

Stakeholder Val wanted to say that this new rule from BONC, as the previous caller mentioned, which is in charge of protecting the city from LA, seems to have come after people have come forward from several neighborhood councils after racist and sexist treatment. This law seeks to keep that information from getting out. It's there to protect the city. Considering this council has a very controversial member on it with a public record of domestic violence, she thinks it's worth it to look at what this would look like if people could not say that they are not affiliated with that person.

Stakeholder Tigger thought that this is a dumb motion: you can't talk your friends, or organize, and he asked why anyone would want this. He questioned what a NC is actually doing if they are not talking to people and organizing.

Stakeholder Sylvie said that anything that stymies freedom of expression, especially now, concerns her. On a separate note, she wanted to thank HUNC; she has participated in this meeting for the first time in a long time, and the fact that the board is all here doing this work and dedicated, she is humbled by it and grateful.

Erin Penner appreciated the stakeholder comments and does think it's a way of policing board members' social media when they are unpaid elected officials. She does feel as an NC member that she has been failed by BONC and DONE for 4 years, and this would add hours to board members' workload, effectiveness, and efficiency. It makes it less appealing to run for NC. This is a perfect way to pacify people.

Ms. D'Amore said she had written several things, and they included some of the things Ms. Penner brought up. She does not think everybody on the board and in the audience has read the document. The full policy permits NCs to disseminate agenda items and information. It does not permit the NC to editorialize or give opinion in public media. There are some aspects that have come forward because some people had been harassed through personal social media. If an NC has violated the code of conduct, up until that point there was no way to enforce that kind of inappropriateness. So some of the aspects of the policy are dead on. Other points brought up by herself and Bel Air, there have been issues and commonalities that have come up. She wants people to realize that there is a balance. They are not just copying something else, multiple NCs have had problems with this policy.

Ms. Swan agreed, and to the comments about the BABCNC, HUNC is also part of the city charter so when Bel Air refers to the chartered purpose of an NC, it's in the city charter. HUNC's chartered purpose is theirs. So the concern is, where does this go, how effective can NC's be if their communications are monitored.

Theresa Gio wanted to echo and emphasize what the stakeholders are saying; commenting or liking a post counts as commenting and board members can't do it on their personal social media according to this rule, which doesn't make any sense. It's too restrictive for their needs and what they need to do to be effective.

Mr. Skarpelos said that there seems to be a general consensus that people are disappointed and opposed to what this social media policy is. He noted that they all had a chance to send something to Ms. D'Amore, and nothing happened. He does agree that there are issues they could add in, but he thinks the general thrust of the Bel Air Beverly Crest NC letter covers that concern. If they then say they want to spend some time to figure it out, this goes to BONC on the 16th. So, he does not know how they move beyond this letter unless somebody has specific additions or changes. If anyone has those, he invited them to raise them.

Robert Morrison said if they are going to think about using this letter as a template, they should look at the entire letter. Also, he is not sure where they are getting December 16 as the BONC meeting date. Ms. D'Amore said it was sent out in multiple emails.

Mr. Skarpelos screenshared the BABCNC letter, and read the relevant parts of it, detailing their issues with the potential policy and proposed alternatives. He asked if there is anything someone found objectionable or something someone wants to add to it. Sheila Irani said she did not see anything about the first amendment issues with the policy. They're asking you to sign off on your first amendment rights when you sign on to be a NC member. Ms. D'Amore said that part of the point of it is they want to make sure board members do not negatively or positively influence issues. Ms. Swan said that issue goes to training, and it goes to a lack of trust in BONC and DONE. Ms. Irani said her bet is that there are a couple of people who are egregious, and now everyone has to pay the price.

Mr. Morrison said he found the posting for the BONC meeting happening Wed 16th, and it is an info session workshop, so it's not a vote. What he would suggest is that they want to craft their own letter. If they need to submit a letter before the workshop, they

can. Ms. D'Amore said that she was told it is a workshop with a final vote.

Andrew Chadsey wanted to echo what Ms. Irani and others have commented, and add that there is a part of the community that is individual. It's part of what makes a community, is the expression of the individual, and if you choke that, then you choke the voice of HUNC's community.

Ms. Penner bounced off Ms. Irani's comments and said that in her experience with BONC and DONE, they are on the side of the most egregious people. She has personally for years been begging for protection, it's never happened and now they're turning it on others where it's not deserved. So, she is not sure how much it's about the egregious people. She will meet online and do whatever beforehand and is so sorry that she did not write anything beforehand and submit to Ms. D'Amore, but she is happy to meet before this meeting and come up with something.

Mr. Skarpelos suggested having a blanket statement that HUNC is opposed to the efforts by BONC to regulate social media in such a ham handed way that infringes on the ability of the NC to reach its community as stated by the charter. If Robert is right that there is more time, that's great. He asked if people support that and/or the BABCNC letter. He would like to add something on top of the BABCNC letter, so they have some depth to it, but at least they have the general tenor of what their NC has.

Ms. Penner said she had written something down, and read her summary of HUNC's position:

As dedicated, unpaid elected officials on the Hollywood United Neighborhood Council with an obvious mission to advocate for the community, these social media restrictions and policing stifle our effectiveness and efficiency as a board while also severely turning stakeholders away from running for a neighborhood council. This monitoring would also take away from our first amendment rights by essentially making us sign away on our own personal social media and digital communications. If the mission of BONC and DONE is to police digital communications, they should have a mechanism and protocol in place to appropriately take action when it is necessary while supporting the voice of NC boards.

Mr. Skarpelos asked if that was sufficient or if they should add in the BABCNC letter. Jim Van Dusen suggested to add it. Ms. Swan said to Erin's comment, it is clear a lot of issues they have had have not been addressed, and it's their way of punishing board members. She thinks she would add something in there that if their purpose is to police people, put a mechanism in place where if someone commits an offence then there is a punishment. Mr. Skarpelos asked how they would word that, suggesting "If BONC is interested in this policing, they should have a mechanism to take appropriate action when it is necessary." Ms. D'Amore said that there is a mechanism: if an NC violates the NC digital platforms, they cannot vote in theory and an individual will not be permitted to vote. Ms. Penner suggested adding, "while supporting the voice of NC boards."

Fouzia Burfield wanted to say she agreed with Mr. Chadsey's earlier statement.

Motion Made: Motion to submit concerns regarding the new Digital Media Policy for Neighborhood Councils and suggested replacement language based on the Bel Air Beverly Crest NC letter with the following language:

As dedicated, unpaid elected officials on the Hollywood United Neighborhood Council with an obvious mission to advocate for the community, these social media restrictions and policing stifle our effectiveness and efficiency as a board while also severely turning stakeholders away from running for a neighborhood council. This monitoring would also take away from our first amendment rights by essentially making us sign away on our own personal social media and digital communications. If the mission of BONC and DONE is to police digital communications, they should have a mechanism and protocol in place to appropriately take action when it is necessary while supporting the voice of NC boards.

Motion: Margaret Marmolejo **Second:** Susan Swan **Vote:** Yes-47, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-1

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos
Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani
Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith
Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb

12. Public Safety & Emergency Preparedness Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Erin Penner said that they did talk about gang activity in the area, and now that they have stakeholders here, she wanted to impress the fact that if she brings up gang activity, SLOs have never heard about it. If anyone knows about it, please email HUNC's

SLOs.

13. Homelessness and Social Services Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Sheila said she had a chance to speak with staff at Conservation Corps, and we're working on how to deal with the trash buildups in the community, Argyle and Gower, also Cahuenga. Conservation Corps submitted costs for a 4-person crew and a truck to haul it away, costs about \$1,000. So, she just wanted to mention that, they'll come back and present in the Homeless committee or nonprofit committee. But I mentioned to them that I understand we can't pay for labor with money from HUNC, is that true?" Brandi: Yes. Tom: We cannot. Sheila: So this whole thing they're promoting themselves about to get funding is not going to fly, I assume? Erin: No, conservation Corp has always helped me when I've put in request. Sheila: That goes through the city contract.

B) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$250 for 50, \$5 laundry vouchers by A Million Drops distributed during showers at Adventist Church to people experiencing homelessness (2 minutes)

George Skarpelos said that this item was done before, but there was a ridiculous ruling by DONE that because Sheila Irani had once been on the nonprofit's board, she had to recuse herself. Ms. Irani recused herself.

Stakeholder Val said she really likes this effort to give people money for laundry vouchers as opposed to sweeping and destroying their valuables. The CDC has said they should not be doing cleanups.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom addressed Erin Penner, going back to public comment on agenda item 12, saying that the cops aren't finding gang activity because the cops are the gangs, as everyone knows.

Stakeholder Patti really wants to support this motion and thinks it's very important, much more important than worrying about trash pickup. She is just really disappointed that in all of the money, HUNC can only come up with \$250. That's going to take care of some people for one week. She thinks they can come up with more money.

Mr. Skarpelos said, to be clear, they have spent thousands on this program before: Ms. Irani came up with the idea, and HUNC won an award for this program.

Tom Meredith added that this has become almost a signature program for HUNC and they kind of parse it out across the year so it fits into the budget as they see that evolving, so he would not be concerned that it's too limited. His bigger question on B, C, D, and E is do they have NPGs. Ms. Irani said they have the NPG for this item because it was already passed. Ms. Penner said that they spent \$5,000 on Shower of Hope as well.

Stakeholder My Council said that this is a good idea and HUNC should consider buying a washer and dryer.

Motion Made: Motion to approve up to \$250 for 50, \$5 laundry vouchers by A Million Drops distributed during showers at Adventist Church to people experiencing homelessness (2 minutes)

Motion: Erin Penner **Second:** Andrew Chadsey **Vote:** Yes-44, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-3, Ineligible-3

Yes

Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Andrew Chadsey	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell
Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos
Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Susan Swan
Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi
Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi				

Recuse

Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani
--------------	--------------	--------------

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb
---------------	---------------	---------------

C) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$500 for Immigrants Charitable Foundation, \$200 for food for families in need in Hollywood, and \$300 for new commercial grade garbage pickers for their community cleanup crew.

Sheila Irani explained that they have an NPG for this that has been submitted. This group is a selected nonprofit, so for people who get parking tickets, etc. and elect to do community service, they allocate about 8 hours and sign up with ICF to do cleanups and litter pickup. So, they need the commercial grade trash pickers for that. And since COVID they've been feeding 150+ families weekly experiencing food insecurity. So, they've been very active in the community, but have suffered from a lack of funds.

Tom Meredith asked if they would concentrate on a location, and Ms. Irani explained that they are not looking to take away private property and they can't do homeless encampments anyway, only litter. As they work with them, there's \$4000 in an account HUNC received for past community cleanups that they haven't spent, and it's discretionary.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom said that looking on amazon, trash pickers are \$15. Just the ratio of equipment over cost for food, she thinks this ratio should be flipped. It's more important to feed families. She does not know if there is a way to go back to this charity and ask them to itemize where the higher costs are going to and the necessity of it.

ICF Executive Director Marine Kalamdaryan addressed the stakeholder's question for the differences, saying she has negotiated an unbelievable rate for the food from this other nonprofit. So, the lower cost is just good negotiation, not less food. The food is for one month, while the pickers will go 6 months, and they go through pickers constantly. They have bought the \$20 ones in the past, but they need the more heavy duty kind to stand up for constant use.

Stakeholder My Council said that someone mentioned that people who pay tickets are the ones, or who elect community service go into this program, and to them that's gross. The ones who can't afford to pay tickets are already the most vulnerable. Tickets in their society are always aimed at punishing poor and vulnerable people. Tickets aren't an issue for people of wealth. So when they elect community service, they are forcing people to do this work. He wants to see them out there doing cleanup.

Ms. Kalamdaryan explained that this is court-ordered labor. The people who are low income and come through us for parking tickets don't do labor on the streets, they do community service whether it's office work or distributing food.

Stakeholder Patti said she does not care how cheap they can get the food, she thinks \$200 is still an extremely low amount of food, and if they are using those commercial grade pickers for court ordered community service, shouldn't the court be paying for those pickers? She does not know why HUNC should be paying for court ordered tools for community service. She would rather they use \$500 for food.

Stakeholder Damon T wanted to uplift Ms. Bloom and Mr. Council as having really good comments and said that Patti has a good point. Mr. T used to be a professional chef, and \$200 is nothing. He thinks that's HUNC giving itself a participation trophy. If they really want to make a difference, they should up that. Also, he has heard a lot of people be cut off when talking about Francis, and they can't do that. People have legitimate grievances with Francis. George Skarpelos said that the issue is, if they had an agenda item about Francis, they could all talk about Francis, and there will be an item. What they are talking about right now is an item. Mr. T said that Mr. Skarpelos cut someone off before, and that he has to give someone the opportunity to make their point.

Fouzia Burfield said that she is actually very sensitive about the food allocation money, and asked if there was a way they can increase the money allocated to food. Ms. Irani said the thinking was, this is the first time they are working with them, so they wanted to start with a small NPG and see how it works out. And if they feel the relationship is worth it, transparent, and accountable, they will go from there.

Brandi D'Amore wanted to remind board members and the community that they can't increase what isn't on the agenda. She knows what Sheila's talking about as far as testing them out. This is a nonprofit trying to help where they can, and this is the way they can help. The system does not give them the pickers. If they don't get the pickers, you are saying the people working should get subpar equipment.

Erin Penner wanted to piggyback on Ms. D'Amore: she understands everyone's sentiments, and HUNC's job is to support local nonprofits. They do buy food and fund showers and stuff.

Bianca Cockrell thinks it is important that they support local nonprofits, but is confused why they are supporting ones that use prison labor.

Michael Connolly piggybacked on Ms. Penner and Ms. D'Amore: he thinks Ms. Kalamdaryan probably knows what her needs are, and he doubts they are the only ones she is soliciting for funds.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil said that most of these kinds of nonprofits are using court-ordered service. Anywhere they can support service, she would see fit to do so.

Motion Made: Motion to approve up to \$500 for Immigrants Charitable Foundation, \$200 for food for families in need in Hollywood, and \$300 for new commercial grade garbage pickers for their community cleanup crew.

Motion: Sheila Irani **Second:** Susan Swan **Vote:** Yes-40, No-2, Abstain-2, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Bianca Cockrell	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Erin Penner	Erin Penner
Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos
George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Margaret Marmolejo	Margaret Marmolejo
Margaret Marmolejo	Matt Wait	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Robert Morrison
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Susan Swan
Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith
Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi		

No

Bianca Cockrell Bianca Cockrell

Abstain

Matt Wait Matt Wait

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb Marshall Cobb Marshall Cobb

- D) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$750 for Immigrants Charitable Foundation (ICF) for up for blankets, socks and underwear to be distributed at First Presbyterian's annual winter shelter

George Skarpelos explained that this item would be for the same organization. Erin Penner said she is talking to First Presbyterian, and that it has been a thorn in her side that they have not been able to fund First Pres' annual winter shelter, the only unhoused shelter in HUNC's area, because they are not a 501.c.3. The ICF has volunteered to be the 501.c.3 to help HUNC for the first time ever to give to the only homeless shelter in their area. Marine Kalamdaryan has been so kind to be the 501.c.3 to take this stuff to allow HUNC to fund their homeless shelter. It will be open in 2021, and it would be perfect timing for HUNC. Sheila Irani explained that she went shopping on Amazon, Costco and a number of places to find the cheapest sources, and that she would send those to ICF to be purchased. She needs to talk to Amy who runs First Pres for some details, and they do not have the NPG yet. Ms. Penner said that the NPG would come from ICF, and Ms. Irani said the vote would be to approve so Ms. Penner can go to ICF.

Brandi D'Amore asked if they are doing a higher proportion of socks than the other stuff, and Ms. Irani said she is not sure of the ratios, but she can make sure there are more socks.

Fouzia Burfield asked if they should think about feminine hygiene items to suggest or for another participation of HUNC's. She knows it's something that people don't necessarily think about. Ms. Penner said that the unhoused currently at the shelter are all men, and that she keeps advocating for women because they don't take shelter beds because it's so unsafe. This is a mixed shelter, they do get feminine hygiene products from other people, but Ms. Penner does these drives on her own. She agreed with Ms. Burfield, though, and noted that Los Feliz NC does a massive feminine hygiene drive, and HUNC can also do that.

Tom Meredith noted that without an NPG, they cannot vote on this. They do not have the documentation complete and dated. The BAC would be dated today, and the NPG would be dated later, which is not going to fly downtown.

Ms. Irani asked if ICF's executive director Marine Kalamdaryan could put together an NPG tonight, and Ms. Kalamdaryan said she can absolutely do it. Mr. Skarpelos asked if they can do this on January 11, and Ms. Penner said that they can, but they've also been trying for 4 years. Ms. Irani noted that they have been sitting here for more than 4 hours, she can take 15 minutes to work on it. Ms. Penner said that they cannot add feminine products then because it is not in the motion.

Mr. Meredith said they could also call a special meeting and run this one vote. At this point, it was discovered that the NPG listed the incorrect district. The mistake was corrected, and Mr. Skarpelos screenshared the corrected NPG.

Stakeholder Patti said she is really concerned that HUNC in the earlier motion wouldn't give this woman any more money because it's the first time they are working with her, but now allowing her to be the blanket 501c3 because they needed one. Again, they are still trusting her when they are not trusting her in another area. Also, many stakeholders are bringing up issues about food. She asked nicely if she could raise the level, and you said she couldn't. Patti thinks the board is giving mixed messages.

Stakeholder Damon T echoed Patti, saying that they heard over and over and over tonight the board saying "They came to us, we had no choice". They do have a choice. He said the board's job is to be the one to look into these, it took him ten seconds tonight to find out that Person's Concern was nothing more than a sweep organization.

Brandi D'Amore felt that in the future there needs to be basic education of the stakeholders on how these things work. HUNC cannot solicit organizations--if it's on the agenda for x amount, they cannot vote beyond that amount unless they want HUNC to postpone it. It seems that people are conflating information when they do not recognize that HUNC is constrained by certain things.

Motion Made: Motion to approve up to \$750 for Immigrants Charitable Foundation (ICF) for up for blankets, socks and underwear to be distributed at First Presbyterian's annual winter shelter

Motion: Erin Penner **Second:** Sheila Irani **Vote:** Yes-41, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-3

Yes

Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Bianca Cockrell	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore	Brandi D'Amore
Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Erin Penner	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield	Fouzia Burfield
George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	George Skarpelos	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen	Jim Van Dusen
Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Matt Wait	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly	Michael Connolly
Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Robert Morrison	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani	Sheila Irani
Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Susan Swan	Theresa Gio	Theresa Gio	Tom Meredith
Tom Meredith	Tom Meredith	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	Tony Zimbardi	

Ineligible

Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb	Marshall Cobb			
---------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--

- E) Discussion and possible motion to approve up to \$1000 for cleanup of Gower Underpass in conjunction with People's Concern and First Presbyterian Church with commercial 30 yard bin permitted and parked on Carlos Way.

Tabled: *George Skarpelos asked if there is an NPG for this, and Sheila Irani said there was not. There are too many moving parts, first she wanted to run the idea by the board and describe what she is thinking. They know the issue with street cleanups is they don't want to take private property. They can provide brooms, etc., a bin, and CD13 might provide or pay for a bin. And it's a day. They don't want to leave the bin out for a week. They can give unhoused residents a clean t-shirt at the end of the day, a hygiene kit, maybe laundry vouchers. Brandi D'Amore said that they can't vote without an NPG.*

Tom Meredith asked if People's Concern is a 501.c.3, which Sheila Irani confirmed. Mr. Meredith asked if this is the primary kind of service they do. Ms. Irani said that Robert Morrison introduced her to Sara there, and said they are the people who go out and offer services. Mr. Morrison explained that they are a city-funded outreach team. Mr. Meredith asked if the staff of People's Concern engage with the unhoused, and Mr. Morrison said they are doing long-term outreach to build relationships with people on the street. Once a month on the day before they get their van detailed, then pick up trash with people at the encampments. Mr. Meredith asked to confirm that it is a partnership between people experiencing homelessness and People's Concern staff together cleaning up, which Mr. Morrison did.

Erin Penner said she emailed Amy Quigley at First Presbyterian who runs the shelter, and she said she's been in meetings about the sanitation issue, she's totally aware, and she reached out to the facilities team. It's a possibility, and they use their parking lots for rentals. She wants to know the size and how often it will be accessible and emptied. There are a lot of moving parts.

Ms. Irani said that a 30-yard bin is the medium size bin. They can fill it up and can't put construction materials in it. The door will be open in the back so you can walk up and drop items inside. She suggests only having it out for 24 hours, or they could find homeless making a house in it, which has happened with other dumpsters. They could go out there early, say they'd like to do a day for the unhoused to get rid of their garbage. They set up a date with them, and they can park it on the lot, though she thinks if CD13 gets involved, they do not have to pay for the permit.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom said she was trying to comment on the two or three items Mr. Skarpelos skipped public comment on, and said to please try to not skip D and E. Mr. Skarpelos reiterated that they are postponing D. Ms. Bloom wanted to say there is more money being spent on cleanups than on food, and even though the board is in the habit of not taking proper NPG documentation, their ineptitude and lack of work and foresight on how to produce NPGs creates delays in giving services to people. On agenda item D, they are letting IFC pay for supplies over food. For item E, again, it's a cleanup effort when they should be advocating the Department of Sanitation for guided cleanups with advocacy groups. On item 14, she has nothing to say.

Stakeholder Patti said she absolutely agrees with the previous caller, the board totally skipped D, they should still have taken public comment on it, and it's wrong to prioritize cleanups over food. She said the board is so concerned about garbage when people are going hungry in the middle of a pandemic. If they want cleanup, why not work with a mutual aid group?" People's Concern, they're not that great. And the board shouldn't be spending money on a dumpster when they can get it for free from a council district. They should be doing a drive for supplies, not paying for them, and using that money for food.

Stakeholder Supreme Councilmember said that there has been \$1,300 today allocated for cleanups and \$950 for food and services, which are lifegiving. He thinks it is good that the board is thinking about ways to take care of trash; this encampment should have a permanent dumpster, and that should have been a solved problem a long time ago. Sanitation has millions of dollars, and they intentionally do not serve encampments when they have the capacity to. One way the board can go about this is there are mutual aid groups that have worked with council offices including CD11 and CD13 to create community cleanup programs to pay unhoused people themselves.

Stakeholder Damon T echoed Supreme Councilmember, that the group in the item is not a mutual aid group. Any group who files an amicus brief against Martin v Boise is not on the side of the unhoused. He missed it before, a comment from someone on the board because he was googling this group. They said they're going to clean up for the first two weeks and then "make relationships", and that doesn't sound like somebody whose first interest is the unhoused. The board is giving this giant group \$1000 to do sweeps. The city already does sweeps. This group is not an ally to the unhoused people, and he urges the board to vote this down.

Ms. D'Amore said that this issue about getting money for food, which is an excellent idea, requires that a nonprofit come to HUNC requesting that. So, if people know of orgs in the HUNC area who need money, have them come to HUNC's committees. She emphasized that HUNC cannot buy directly.

Ms. Irani reminded everybody that they just did one for Eayikes and Covenant House and have worked with the Hollywood Food Coalition in the past.

Fouzia Burfield encouraged the stakeholder who spoke tonight about the food situation to bring to the board more possibilities. But also, cleanness, when it's done with the people, is not the same thing as the sweeps. She agrees with them, she does not like the sweeps. But when you live in the streets, you don't have to live in dirtiness. Food is not the only thing they need, and cleanliness should not be a luxury.

Erin Penner reminded the stakeholders that they always need them. These groups are 501c3's that come to HUNC. They are not searching them out for the most part unless they come up with an idea. So, if stakeholders know organizations that they find worthy that need help, use that energy to connect them to HUNC.

Mr. Morrison said that they talked about this idea on the homelessness and social services committee, they had Sara who does direct outreach, and this is an idea they have. The committee meets once a month to talk about ideas, and they would love to have more participation. He is looking at this through the lens of outreach and harm reduction.

Michael Connolly said that Mr. Morrison is a thoughtful and real activist, and thinks they are missing the bigger point here: yes, they don't pick and choose what the numbers are, but the pattern here was a holistic approach. Food, clothing, and cleanup. To miss that, he thinks misses the whole point. Not offering solutions to all of that misses the whole point. They are all five hours into this, they are not doing this to not try and find solutions, and they are limited to the solutions at hand. He applies these three things to the 360 view of a human being. Rather than talk smack about what the board is not doing, he asks stakeholders to offer ideas. They are here to figure out the solutions and put their money where their mouth is.

Mr. Skarpelos thought they were going to move on, they have nothing to do until they get an NPG, though clearly there is some interest. So, at the next HSSC meeting they can hammer out details.

14. Transportation & Works Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Brandi D'Amore said that they have a kind of brand new committee, with a new chair and new members. They outlined what their goals are for 2021, including potential regenerative agriculture, dealing with the sanitation issue, an EV workshop with NCSA, and better understanding and outreach about public transit.

15. Non Profit, Education & Arts Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Michael Connolly said that they are working more closely, trying to figure out with Nellie Scott of the Corita Kent Foundation how to figure out a mural that highlights Kent and work with local artists, potentially local unhoused artists. That is a work in progress.

Stakeholder Pattie said she is all for a Corita Kent mural. But she also wanted to say that it gets difficult as stakeholders when they are trying to participate, and then get lectures saying what they are saying isn't right.

Stakeholder Jackie Bloom seconded, saying they are here to help, and it is frustrating to have the board be dismissive. Other than that, she also wanted to say that, hearing board members speak about mutual aid groups and that they are out there waiting for people to come to the board: no, the board should be out there recruiting people. They should be going out from their place of privilege; the people who are coming to them aren't coming to them with opportunities who are helpful to the community.

16. Renters and Housing Issues Committee

A) Report by the Committee Chair

Matt Wait said that they did meet, and they had agenda items that he did not submit; they canceled their meeting for this month, but there will be items on the agenda for January. The most significant item that they did not get before the full board is that they supported the board considering a CIS banning film production in RSO properties. Apparently that recently happened at the Villa Carlotta.

Brandi D'Amore clarified that it was because they discovered that some properties are not consulting with residents before they expose them to film crews that may be sick. And because the property owner is the one who gets to decide that. It didn't come out of nowhere, it's an actual problem.

17. Board Member announcements of items not on the Agenda

Brandi D'Amore reminded the board that if anyone plans on sitting on PLUM Committee they need to undergo training to do that. They have also discovered that depending on the setup, it's possible that more than just PLUM would need the training. So they encourage board members to take the training just in case.

Stakeholder Kristina O'Neil had a question on item 12, and asked Erin Penner: if she wanted to help or be on the committee for emergency preparedness, is that part of the election? George Skarpelos said it was not, that she would submit a request to be a stakeholder committee member. They usually review it at Executive Committee, then bring it to the board. Ms. O'Neil asked what was coming in January about that meeting, and whether they will be discussing something about neighbors signing off on renting a property out for filming.

Tom Meredith wanted to say in parallel to what he said earlier, that he encourages every board member to make sure that they file their candidacy, but encourage in parallel their stakeholders to run; they have 13 seats up for election, and he would recommend strongly that they consider filing for their own candidacy. He appreciates the passion, but he does not like the animus, and frankly the lecturing goes both ways. Maybe the solution is to get on the board.

Erin Penner echoed Mr. Meredith that they need vocal people on this board. She is very grateful for the stakeholders that showed up, but she does not appreciate being insulted. They are doing exactly what the board just discussed on the agenda, with punishing people for being unpaid elected officials, and they are not here to be insulted when some of the board literally work with homeless and have homeless live with them to find housing. They are all human and unpaid volunteers, and they should not be picking people apart who are doing the work, and it's a thankless job sometimes, and she thinks that should be noted.

18. Old/Ongoing Business

None

19. New/Future Business

George Skarpelos said that they had a request for censure for a board member, and that will be at the Jan 11th meeting. He said that he was sure they will have plenty of people commenting from the stakeholders.

Adjournment at 11:41 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.