

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Jim Van Dusen, Chair  
Cesar Cervera, Voting Stakeholder  
Brandi D'Amore  
Robert Morrison  
Rosalind Helfand, Voting Stakeholder  
Susan Swan, Voting Stakeholder  
George Skarpelos, Voting Stakeholder



HOLLYWOOD UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL  
Certified Council #52,  
P.O. Box 3272 Los Angeles, CA 90078  
www.MyHUNC.org email us at Info@MyHUNC.org

## COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

### Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee

Thursday, February 04, 2021, 6:30 PM

Webinar ID: 826 5846 6971

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82658466971>

Welcome

**6:34 PM start**

#### 1. Roll Call

##### Attending

Jim Van Dusen      Cesar Cervera      Brandi D'Amore      Rosalind Helfand      George Skarpelos

##### Excused

Susan Swan

##### Absent

Lisa Zaripov

#### 2. Approval of Minutes

**Tabled**

#### 3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (2 minutes each)

**Charlie Carnow spoke about the Hollywood Community Plan**

#### 4. Introduction of Meg Healy, CD 4 Planning Manager

**Meg introduced herself to the meeting.**

#### 5. Presentation by Better Neighbors LA of their program and objectives regarding the LA City short-term rental program

**Sarah Flocks presented on the organization. Works with housing advocates and tenants groups to enforce the home-sharing ordinance.**

#### 6. Review and possible motion regarding 6108 West Rodgerton Drive project, Case No. ZA-2019-6437-ZAD-DRB-SPP. LA Planning Department hearing was held on January 26, 2021. Record is being held open pending HUNC input regarding the project.

**Motion Made: Motion regarding 6108 West Rodgerton Drive project, Case No. ZA-2019-6437-ZAD-DRB-SPP. LA Planning Department hearing was held on January 26, 2021. Record is being held open pending HUNC input regarding the project.**

**Motion to deny the project based on safety and emergency fire response concerns caused by the narrow substandard street less than 20 feet in width and the potential environment impact if such widening takes place.**

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen

**Second:** Rosalind Helfand

**Vote:** Yes-5, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

**Yes**

Brandi D'Amore

Cesar Cervera

George Skarpelos

Jim Van Dusen

Rosalind Helfand

#### 7. Review and possible motion regarding 6201 W. Franklin Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90028. Project Use: 7-Eleven Convenience Store. Project Description: A Conditional Use Permit to allow hours of operations 24-hours, daily of a proposed 2744 sq. Ft. retail/convenience store on a property zoned C1-1D. Action(s) Requested: A Conditional Use Permit to allow hours of

operations 24-hours, daily of a proposed 2744 sq. Ft. retail/convenience store on a property zoned C1-1D.

**Motion Made:** Motion to deny regarding 6201 W. Franklin Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90028. **Project Use:** 7-Eleven Convenience Store. **Project Description:** A Conditional Use Permit to allow hours of operations 24-hours, daily of a proposed 2744 sq. Ft. retail/convenience store on a property zoned C1-1D. **Action(s) Requested:** A Conditional Use Permit to allow hours of operations 24-hours, daily of a proposed 2744 sq. Ft. retail/convenience store on a property zoned C1-1D.

**Motion:** Jim Van Dusen      **Second:** Brandi D'Amore      **Vote:** Yes-4, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-1, Ineligible-0

**Yes**

Brandi D'Amore      George Skarpelos      Jim Van Dusen      Rosalind Helfand

**Recuse**

Cesar Cervera

8. Discussion and possible recommendation that the board file a CIS in regard to CF 19-0646: Interim Control Ordinance / Minimum Lease / Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO) Buildings  
<https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=19-0646>

**Motion Made:** Amend our CIS in regard to CF 19-0646 to recommend that corporate housing is prohibited for RSO units with regard to the home sharing ordinance.

**Motion:** George Skarpelos      **Second:** Jim Van Dusen      **Vote:** Yes-4, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

**Yes**

Brandi D'Amore      George Skarpelos      Jim Van Dusen      Rosalind Helfand

9. Discussion and possible recommendation that the board file a CIS on CF 09-0969-S3 regarding the doubling of appellant fees as suggested in a report from the Department of City Planning relative to policy recommendations and amending Sections 19.00 through 19.12 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for a comprehensive fee update to more accurately reflect the cost of providing planning and land use services, based on new trends and data collected since the fee ordinance was last updated in February 2018 (Ordinance 185432) [https://clkrep.lacity.org/online/docs/2009/09-0969-S3\\_rpt\\_PLAN\\_12-02-2020.pdf](https://clkrep.lacity.org/online/docs/2009/09-0969-S3_rpt_PLAN_12-02-2020.pdf)

**Motion Made:** Motion to file a CIS in opposition to CF 09-0969-S3 due its increase in fees for non applicant initiated appeals.

**Motion:** Brandi D'Amore      **Second:** Jim Van Dusen      **Vote:** Yes-5, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

**Yes**

Brandi D'Amore      Cesar Cervera      George Skarpelos      Jim Van Dusen      Rosalind Helfand

10. Committee Member announcements on items not on the Agenda

11. Old/Ongoing Business

12. New/Future Business

Adjournment at 10:05 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.