

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Brandi D'Amore, Chair
Paul Barbosa
Mark Millner
George Skarpelos
Jim Van Dusen



HOLLYWOOD UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
Certified Council #52,
P.O. Box 3272 Los Angeles, CA 90078
www.MyHUNC.org email us at Info@MyHUNC.org

COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

**Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee
Thursday, March 5, 2026, 6:30 PM**

Zoom Meeting Online or By Telephone

**<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88945120920> or Dial 1 (669) 444-9171 to Join the Meeting
Then Enter This Webinar ID: 889 4512 0920 and Press #**

Meeting Exhibits: https://hollywoodunitednc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/03/Exhibits_PLUM_3-05-2026.pdf

Webinar ID: 889 4512 0920

On 4/2/26 Minutes approved with edits on attendance. Roll call added

Welcome

6:35 PM start

1. Roll Call

Committee was advised that all members must be on camera under SB707 unless receiving a medical dispensation. Committee also advised that a quorum of members must be in LA City boundaries to proceed.

Brandi D'Amore Paul Barbosa Mark Millner George Skarpelos

Absent

Jim Van Dusen

2. Approval of February 2026 Minutes

Tabled: Postponed to next PLUM meeting

3. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda

No comments

4. Presentation by Homeboy Industries of planned use and historic protection considerations of Monastery of the Angels followed by Question & Answer

Presentation outlined the scope and purpose of the Home of the Angels planned campus including rehabilitation, security and bakery use.

5. Discussion and possible recommendation to board on Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) Speed Safety System Camera Program Pilot Report; discussion to include but not limited to 4 areas within HUNC area or potentially affecting HUNC stakeholders:

N Western Ave between Franklin Ave and Los Feliz Blvd ;
N Highland Ave between Franklin Pl and Camrose Dr ;
Franklin Ave between Cheremoya Ave and Tamarind Ave ; and
Hollywood Blvd between N Vista St and Camino Palmero St

10 Minute recess at 8:05PM and restarted at 8:20PM

Discussion included aspects of placement, cost, privacy and data use, effectiveness of cameras for speed control, effectiveness of enforcement.

Motion Made: Recommend that the Board support only a targeted implementation of the program in areas where there are significant safety concerns. There are additional concerns about the cost of the program, security of the data being collected, how the data is

stored, who has access to the data, the questionable assessment of the fines on vehicle owners and not the drivers. There are additional concerns on the use of these cameras to monitor populations and whether these cameras are subject to state and federal warrants. Funds dedicated to this program could be allocated to other programs that might have the same or better impact on traffic safety. Previous camera enforcement programs have had significant problems with enforcement and resulted in their discontinuation.

Motion: Brandi D'Amore **Second:** Mark Millner **Result:** Yes-4, No-0, Abstain-0, Recused-0, Ineligible-0

Yes

Brandi D'Amore George Skarpelos Mark Millner Paul Barbosa

A) Information about Program: <https://ladot.lacity.gov/speed-safety-system>

Report Policy Motion: <https://ladot.lacity.gov/sites/default/files/2026-02/speed-safety-program-report-and-policy.pdf>

Impact Report: <https://ladot.lacity.gov/sites/default/files/2026-02/speed-safety-program-attachment-a-impact-report.pdf>

6. Discussion and possible recommendation to board on Griffith Park Gateway Concessions Requested Proposals Process

Online link:

https://hollywoodunitednc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/03/Griffith_Park_Gateway_Concessions_RFP.pdf

Postponed until a later time.

7. Committee Member announcements on items not on the Agenda

Brandi noted a project on Rodgerton that finally came back with plans.

8. Old/Ongoing Business

9. New/Future Business

Adjournment at 8:52 PM

Reconsideration: The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed on the agenda if that reconsideration takes place before the end of the meeting at which it was considered or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: (1) Make a Motion for Reconsideration and, if approved, (2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: (1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and (2) a [Proposed] action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A Motion for Reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a Motion for Reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Recording Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.